arXiv:0808.3267v3 [math.AG] 17 Nov 2009

HOMOLOGICAL INTERPRETATION OF EXTENSIONS AND BIEXTENSIONS OF COMPLEXES

CRISTIANA BERTOLIN

Abstract. Let $\mathbf{T}$ be a topos. Let $K_i = [A_i \stackrel{u_i}{\rightarrow} B_i]$ (for $i = 1, 2, 3$) be a complex of commutative groups of $\mathbf{T}$ with A_i in degree 1 and B_i in degree 0. We define the geometrical notions of extension of K_1 by K_3 and of biextension of (K_1, K_2) by K_3. These two notions generalize to complexes with two entries the classical notions of extension and biextension of commutative groups of $\mathbf{T}$. We then apply the geometrical-homological principle of Grothendieck in order to compute the homological interpretation of extensions and biextensions of complexes.

Contents

Introduction 1
Notation 4
1. Extensions and biextensions of complexes 4
2. The additive cofibred category $\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot(L..)}}$ 12
3. Homological description of $\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot(L..)}}$ 14
4. A canonical flat partial resolution for a complex concentrated in two consecutive degrees 21
5. Geometrical description of $\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot(L..)}}$ 24
6. Proof of the main theorem 27
References 29

Introduction

Let $\mathbf{T}$ be a topos (see [D73] Exposé IV). Denote by $\mathcal{C}$ the category of commutative groups of $\mathbf{T}$, i.e. the category of $\mathbb{Z}$-modules of $\mathbf{T}$. If I is an object of $\mathbf{T}$, we denote by $\mathbb{Z}[I]$ the free $\mathbb{Z}$-module generated by I. Let $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$ the derived category of the abelian category $\mathcal{C}$.

The geometrical-homological principle of Grothendieck asserts:
if an object A of $\mathcal{C}$ admits an explicit representation in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$ by a complex L. *whose components are direct sums of objects of the kind $\mathbb{Z}[I]$, with I object of $\boldsymbol{T}$, then the groups* $\mathrm{Ext}^i(A, B)$ *admit an explicit geometrical description for any object B of $\mathcal{C}$.*

A first example of this principle of Grothendieck is furnished by the geometrical notion of extension of objects of $\mathcal{C}$: in fact, if P and G are two objects of $\mathcal{C}$, it is a classical result that the group $\mathrm{Ext}^0(P, G)$ is isomorphic to the group of

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 18G15, 18G35, 18A20.

Key words and phrases. extensions, biextensions, commutative groups of a topos, tensor products.

automorphisms of any extension of P by G and the group $\mathrm{Ext}^1(P,G)$ is isomorphic to the group of isomorphism classes of extensions of P by G.

In [G] Exposé VII Corollary 3.6.5 Grothendieck furnishes another example of this principle using the geometrical notion of biextension of objects $\mathcal{C}$: if P, Q and G are three objects of $\mathcal{C}$, he proves that the group $\mathrm{Biext}^0(P,Q;G)$ of automorphisms of any biextension of (P,Q) by G and the group $\mathrm{Biext}^1(P,Q;G)$ of isomorphism classes of biextensions of (P,Q) by G, have the following homological interpretation:

$$\mathrm{Biext}^i(P,Q;G) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^i(P\overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}Q,G) \qquad (i=0,1) \tag{0.1}$$

where $P\overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}Q$ is the derived functor of the functor $Q \to P\otimes Q$ in the derived category $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$.

Let $K_i = [(K_i)_1 \to (K_i)_0]$ (for $i=1,2,3$) be a chain complex of objects of $\mathcal{C}$ concentrated in degrees 1 and 0. In this paper we introduce the geometrical notions of extension of K_1 by K_3 and of biextension of (K_1,K_2) by K_3. These two notions generalize to complexes concentrated in degrees 1 and 0 the classical notions of extension and biextension of objects of $\mathcal{C}$. We then apply the geometrical-homological principle of Grothendieck in order to compute the homological interpretation of these geometrical notions of extensions and biextensions of complexes.
Our main result is:

Theorem 0.1. *Let K_i (for $i=1,2,3$) be a chain complex of commutative groups of $\boldsymbol{T}$ concentrated in degrees 1 and 0.*

1. *If $\mathrm{Ext}^i((K_1\overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}K_2)_0,(K_3)_1)=0$ for $i=0$ and 1, we have the following canonical isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Biext}^0(K_1,K_2;K_3) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^0(K_1\overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}K_2,K_3).$$

2. *Assume $\mathrm{Ext}^i((K_1\overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}K_2)_0,(K_3)_1)=0$ for $i=0,1$ and 2. Moreover assume that the kernel of the map*

$$d_1^{-11}:\mathrm{Ext}^1((K_1\overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}K_2)_0,(K_3)_0)\oplus\mathrm{Ext}^1((K_1\overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}K_2)_1,(K_3)_1) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1((K_1\overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}K_2)_1,(K_3)_0)\oplus\mathrm{Ext}^1((K_1\overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}K_2)_2,(K_3)_1)$$

is trivial. Then we have the following canonical isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Biext}^1(K_1,K_2;K_3) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^1(K_1\overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}K_2,K_3)$$

If $K_i=[0\to(K_i)_0]$ (for $i=1,2,3$), this theorem coincides with the homological interpretation (0.1) of biextensions of objects of $\mathcal{C}$. The hypothesis of this Theorem are needed in order to prove the surjectivity underlying both isomorphisms. They appear in Lemma 3.1.

The homological interpretation of extensions of complexes concentrated in degrees 1 and 0 is a special case of Theorem 0.1: in fact, it is furnished by the statement of this Theorem with $K_2=[0\overset{0}{\to}\mathbb{Z}]$, since the category $\mathbf{Biext}(K_1,[0\overset{0}{\to}\mathbb{Z}];K_3)$ of biextensions of $(K_1,[0\overset{0}{\to}\mathbb{Z}])$ by K_3 is equivalent to the category $\mathbf{Ext}(K_1,K_3)$ of extensions of K_1 by K_3:

$$\mathbf{Biext}(K_1,[0\overset{0}{\to}\mathbb{Z}];K_3) \cong \mathbf{Ext}(K_1,K_3),$$

and since in the derived category $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$ we have that

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i(K_1\overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}[0\overset{0}{\to}\mathbb{Z}],K_3) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^i(K_1,K_3) \qquad (i=0,1).$$

Using a more technical language, we can rewrite Theorem 0.1 as followed: the strictly commutative Picard stack of biextensions of (K_1, K_2) by K_3 is equivalent to the strictly commutative Picard stack associated to the object

$$\tau_{\leq o}\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}(K_1 \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes} K_2, K_3[1])$$

of $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$ by the dictionary of [D73] Exposé XVIII Proposition 1.4.15.

Theorem 0.1 is the first example in the literature where the geometrical-homological principle of Grothendieck is applied to complexes. Examples where this geometrical-homological principle is applied to objects are described in [Br]: according to loc.cit. Proposition 8.4, the strictly commutative Picard stack of symmetric biextensions of (P, P) by G is equivalent to the strictly commutative Picard stack associated to the object $\tau_{\leq o}\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{L}\mathrm{Sym}^2(P), G[1])$ of $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$, and according to loc.cit. Theorem 8.9, the strictly commutative Picard stack of the 3-tuple (L, E, α) (resp. the 4-tuple (L, E, α, β)) defining a cubic structure (resp. a Σ-structure) on the G-torsor L is equivalent to the strictly commutative Picard stack associated to the object $\tau_{\leq o}\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{L}P_2^+(P), G[1])$ (resp. $\tau_{\leq o}\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{L}\Gamma_2(P), G[1])$) of $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$.

Applications of Theorem 0.1 are given by the isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Biext}^1(K_1, K_2; K_3) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^1(K_1 \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes} K_2, K_3) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})}(K_1 \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes} K_2, K_3[1]) \tag{0.2}$$

which make explicit the link between biextensions and bilinear morphisms. A classical example of this link is given by the Poincaré biextension of an abelian variety which defines the Weil pairing on the Tate modules. In the context of 1-motives, the isomorphism (0.2) is used in order to show that isomorphism classes of biextensions of 1-motives are bilinear morphisms in the category of mixed Hodge structures (see [D74] §10.2) and in Voevodsky's triangulated category of effective geometrical motives (see [BM]).

The idea of the proof of Theorem 0.1 is the following one: Let $K = [A \overset{u}{\rightarrow} B]$ be a complex of commutative groups of $\mathbf{T}$ concentrated in degrees 1 and 0 and let L.. be a bicomplex of commutative groups of $\mathbf{T}$ which satisfies $\mathrm{L}_{ij} = 0$ for $(ij) \neq (00), (01), (02), (11), (10), (20)$. To the complex K and to the bicomplex L.. we associate an additive cofibred category $\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot(L..)}}(K)$ which has the following *homological description*:

$$\Psi^i_{\mathrm{Tot(L..)}}(K) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^i(\mathrm{Tot(L..)}, K) \qquad (i = 0, 1) \tag{0.3}$$

where $\Psi^0_{\mathrm{Tot(L..)}}(K)$ is the group of automorphisms of any object of $\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot(L..)}}(K)$ and $\Psi^1_{\mathrm{Tot(L..)}}(K)$ is the group of isomorphism classes of objects of $\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot(L..)}}(K)$. Then, to any complex of the kind $K = [A \overset{u}{\rightarrow} B]$ we associate a *canonical flat partial resolution* L..(K) whose components are direct sums of objects of the kind $\mathbb{Z}[I]$ with I a commutative group of $\mathbf{T}$. Here "partial resolution" means that we have an isomorphism between the homology groups of K and of this partial resolution only in degree 1 and 0. This is enough for our goal since only the groups Ext^1 and Ext^0 are involved in the statement of the main Theorem 0.1. Consider now three chain complexes K_i (for $i = 1, 2, 3$) concentrated in degrees 1 and 0. The categories $\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot(L..}(K_1))}(K_3)$ and $\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot(L..}(K_1)\otimes \mathrm{L..}(K_2))}(K_3)$ admit the following *geometrical description*:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{\mathrm{Tot(L..}(K_1))}(K_3) &\cong \mathbf{Ext}(K_1, K_3) \\ \Psi_{\mathrm{Tot(L..}(K_1)\otimes \mathrm{L..}(K_2))}(K_3) &\cong \mathbf{Biext}(K_1, K_2; K_3) \end{aligned} \tag{0.4}$$

Putting together this geometrical description (0.4) with the homological description (0.3), we get

- the proof of the Theorem 0.1;
- the proof that the group of automorphisms of any extension of K_1 by K_3 is the group $\mathrm{Ext}^0(K_1,K_3)$ and that the group of isomorphism classes of extensions of K_1 by K_3 is the group $\mathrm{Ext}^1(K_1,K_3)$.

NOTATION

In this paper, $\mathbf{T}$ is a topos and $\mathcal{C}$ is the category of commutative groups of $\mathbf{T}$, i.e. the category of $\mathbb{Z}$-modules of $\mathbf{T}$. Recall that we can identify commutative groups of $\mathbf{T}$ with abelian sheaves over $\mathbf{T}$. If I is an object of $\mathbf{T}$, we denote by $\mathbb{Z}[I]$ the free $\mathbb{Z}$-module generated by I (see [D73] Exposé IV 11).

All complexes of objects of $\mathcal{C}$ that we consider in this paper are chain complexes. The truncation $\sigma_{\geq n}\mathrm{L.}$ of a complex L. is the following complex: $(\sigma_{\geq n}\mathrm{L.})_i = \mathrm{L}_i$ for $i \geq n$ and $(\sigma_{\geq n}\mathrm{L.})_i = 0$ for $i < n$.

If L.. is a bicomplex of objects of $\mathcal{C}$, we denote by Tot(L..) the total complex of L..: it is the chain complex whose component of degree n is $\mathrm{Tot(L..)}_n = \sum_{i+j=n} \mathrm{L}_{ij}$.

Let $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$ be the derived category of the abelian category $\mathcal{C}$. Denote by $\mathcal{D}^{[1,0]}(\mathcal{C})$ the subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$ of complexes $K = [A \overset{u}{\to} B]$ concentrated in degrees 1 and 0.

1. EXTENSIONS AND BIEXTENSIONS OF COMPLEXES

Let G be an object of $\mathcal{C}$. A **G-torsor** is an object of $\mathbf{T}$ endowed with an action of G, which is locally isomorphic to G acting on itself by translation.

Let P, G be objects of $\mathcal{C}$. An **extension of P by G** is an exact sequence in $\mathbf{T}$

$$0 \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow 0.$$

By definition the object E is a group. Since in this paper we consider only commutative extensions, E is in fact an object of $\mathcal{C}$. We denote by $\mathbf{Ext}(P,G)$ the category of extensions of P by G. It is a classical result that the category $\mathbf{Ext}(P,*)$ of extensions of P by variable objects of $\mathcal{C}$ is an additive cofibred category over $\mathcal{C}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Ext}(P,*) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C} \\ \mathbf{Ext}(P,G) & \mapsto & G \end{array}$$

Moreover, the Baer sum of extensions defines a group law for the objects of the category $\mathbf{Ext}(P,G)$, which is therefore a strictly commutative Picard category.

Let P, G be objects of $\mathcal{C}$. Denote by $m : P \times P \to P$ the group law of P and by $pr_i : P \times P \to P$ with $i = 1,2$ the two projections of $P \times P$ in P. According to [G] Exposé VII 1.1.6 and 1.2, the category of extensions of P by G is equivalent to the category of 4-tuples (P,G,E,φ), where E is a G_P-torsor over P, and $\varphi : pr_1^*E\ pr_2^*E \to m^*E$ is an isomorphism of torsors over $P \times P$ satisfying some associativity and commutativity conditions (see [G] Exposé VII diagrams (1.1.4.1) and (1.2.1)):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Ext}(P,G) \quad \cong \quad & \Big\{ (P,G,E,\varphi) \ \Big| \ E = G_P\text{−torsor over } P \text{ and} \\ & \varphi : pr_1^*E\ pr_2^*E \simeq m^*E \text{ with ass. and comm. conditions} \Big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

It will be useful in what follows to look at the isomorphism of torsors φ as an associative and commutative group law on the fibres:

$$+ : E_p \; E_{p'} \longrightarrow E_{p+p'}$$

where p, p' are points of $P(S)$ with S any object of $\mathbf{T}$.

Let I be an object of $\mathbf{T}$ and let G be an object of $\mathcal{C}$. Concerning extensions of free commutative groups, in [G] Exposé VII 1.4 Grothendieck proves that there is an equivalence of categories between the category of extensions of $\mathbb{Z}[I]$ by G and the category of G_I-torsors over I:

$$\mathbf{Ext}(\mathbb{Z}[I], G) \cong \mathbf{Tors}(I, G_I) \tag{1.2}$$

Let P, Q and G be objects of $\mathcal{C}$. A **biextension of** (P, Q) **by** G is a $G_{P\times Q}$-torsor B over $P \times Q$, endowed with a structure of commutative extension of Q_P by G_P and a structure of commutative extension of P_Q by G_Q, which are compatible one with another (for the definition of compatible extensions see [G] Exposé VII Définition 2.1). If m_P, p_1, p_2 (resp. m_Q, q_1, q_2) denote the three morphisms $P \times P \times Q \to P \times Q$ (resp. $P \times Q \times Q \to P \times Q$) deduced from the three morphisms $P \times P \to P$ (resp. $Q \times Q \to Q$) group law, first and second projection, the equivalence of categories (1.1) furnishes the following equivalent definition: a biextension of (P, Q) by G is a $G_{P\times Q}$-torsor B over $P \times Q$ endowed with two isomorphisms of torsors

$$\varphi : p_1^* E \; p_2^* E \longrightarrow m_P^* E \qquad\qquad \psi : q_1^* E \; q_2^* E \longrightarrow m_Q^* E$$

over $P \times P \times Q$ and $P \times Q \times Q$ respectively, satisfying some associativity, commutativity and compatible conditions (see [G] Exposé VII diagrams (2.0.5),(2.0.6),(2.0.8), (2.0.9), (2.1.1)). As for extensions, we will look at the isomorphisms of torsors φ and ψ as two associative and commutative group laws on the fibres which are compatible with one another:

$$+_1 : E_{p,q} \; E_{p',q} \longrightarrow E_{p+p',q} \qquad\qquad +_2 : E_{p,q} \; E_{p,q'} \longrightarrow E_{p,q+q'}$$

where p, p' (resp. q, q') are points of $P(S)$ (resp. of $Q(S)$) with S any object of $\mathbf{T}$.

Let $K_i = [A_i \overset{u_i}{\to} B_i]$ (for $i = 1, 2$) be a complex of objects of $\mathcal{C}$ with A_i in degree 1 and B_i in degree 0.

Definition 1.1. An **extension** (E, β, γ) **of** K_1 **by** K_2 consists of

1. an extension E of B_1 by B_2;
2. a trivialization β of the extension $u_1^* E$ of A_1 by B_2 obtained as pull-back of the extension E via $u_1 : A_1 \to B_1$;
3. a trivial extension $T = (T, \gamma)$ of A_1 by A_2 (i.e. an extension T of A_1 by A_2 endowed with a trivialization γ) and an isomorphism of extensions $\Theta : u_{2*} T \to u_1^* E$ between the push-down via $u_2 : A_2 \to B_2$ of T and $u_1^* E$. Through this isomorphism the trivialization $u_2 \circ \gamma$ of $u_{2*} T$ is compatible with the trivialization β of $u_1^* E$.

Condition (3) can be rewritten as

(3') an homomorphism $\gamma : A_1 \to A_2$ such that $u_2 \circ \gamma$ is compatible with β. Note that to have a trivialization $\beta : A_1 \to u_1^* E$ of $u_1^* E$ is the same thing as to have a lifting $\widetilde{\beta} : A_1 \to E$ of $u_1 : A_1 \to B_1$. In fact, if we denote $p : E \to B_1$ the canonical surjection of the extension E, a morphism $\widetilde{\beta} : A_1 \to E$ such that $p \circ \widetilde{\beta} = u_1$ induces a splitting $\beta : A_1 \to u_1^* E$ that composes with $u_1^* E \to E \overset{p}{\to} B_1$ to $u_1 : A_1 \to B_1$, and vice versa.

Let $K_i = [A_i \overset{u_i}{\rightarrow} B_i]$ and $K'_i = [A'_i \overset{u'_i}{\rightarrow} B'_i]$ (for $i = 1, 2$) be complexes of objects of $\mathcal{C}$ concentrated in degrees 1 and 0. Let (E, β, γ) be an extension of K_1 by K_2 and let (E', β', γ') be an extension of K'_1 by K'_2.

Definition 1.2. A **morphism of extensions**

$$(\underline{F}, \underline{\Upsilon}, \underline{\Phi}) : (E, \beta, \gamma) \longrightarrow (E', \beta', \gamma')$$

consists of

(1) a morphism $\underline{F} = (F, f_1, f_2) : E \rightarrow E'$ from the extension E to the extension E'. In particular, $F : E \rightarrow E'$ is a morphism of the sheaves underlying E and E', and

$$f_1 : B_1 \longrightarrow B'_1 \qquad f_2 : B_2 \longrightarrow B'_2$$

are homomorphisms of commutative groups of $\mathbf{T}$;

(2) a morphism of extensions $\underline{\Upsilon} = (\Upsilon, g_1, f_2) : u_1^* E \rightarrow {u'_1}^* E'$ compatible with the morphism $\underline{F} = (F, f_1, f_2)$ and with the trivializations β and β'. In particular, $\Upsilon : u_1^* E \rightarrow {u'_1}^* E'$ is a morphism of the sheaves underlying $u_1^* E$ and ${u'_1}^* E'$, and

$$g_1 : A_1 \longrightarrow A'_1$$

is an homomorphism of commutative groups of $\mathbf{T}$;

(3) a morphism of extensions $\underline{\Phi} = (\Phi, g_1, g_2) : T \rightarrow T'$ compatible with the morphism $\underline{\Upsilon} = (\Upsilon, g_1, f_2)$ and with the trivializations γ and γ'. In particular, $\Phi : T \rightarrow T'$ is a morphism of the sheaves underlying T and T', and

$$g_2 : A_2 \longrightarrow A'_2$$

is an homomorphism of commutative groups of $\mathbf{T}$.

Condition (3) can be rewritten as

(3') an homomorphism $g_2 : A_2 \rightarrow A'_2$ of commutative groups of $\mathbf{T}$ compatible with u_2 and u'_2 (i.e. $u'_2 \circ g_2 = f_2 \circ u_2$) and such that

$$\gamma' \circ g_1 = g_2 \circ \gamma.$$

Explicitly, the compatibility of $\underline{\Upsilon}$ with $\underline{F}$, β and β' means that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_1 \arrow[r, "\beta"] \arrow[d, "g_1"'] & u_1^* E \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\Upsilon"'] & E \arrow[d, "F"] \\
A'_1 \arrow[r, "\beta'"] & {u'_1}^* E' \arrow[r] & E'.
\end{tikzcd}$$

The compatibility of $\underline{\Phi}$ with $\underline{\Upsilon}$, γ and γ' means that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_1 \arrow[r, "\gamma"] \arrow[d, "g_1"'] & T \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\Phi"'] & u_{2*} T \arrow[r, phantom, "\overset{\Theta}{\cong}"] & u_1^* E \arrow[d, "\Upsilon"] \\
A'_1 \arrow[r, "\gamma'"] & T' \arrow[r] & u'_{2*} T' \arrow[r, phantom, "\overset{\Theta'}{\cong}"] & {u'_1}^* E'.
\end{tikzcd}$$

We denote by $\mathbf{Ext}(K_1, K_2)$ the category of extensions of K_1 by K_2. If the complex K_1 is fixed, the category $\mathbf{Ext}(K_1, *)$ of extensions of K_1 by variable complexes K_2 is an additive cofibred category over $\mathcal{D}^{[1,0]}(\mathcal{C})$

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\mathbf{Ext}(K_1, *) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}^{[1,0]}(\mathcal{C}) \\
\mathbf{Ext}(K_1, K_2) & \mapsto & K_2
\end{array}$$

This is an easy consequence of the analogous properties of the category of extensions of objects of $\mathcal{C}$. Moreover the Baer sum of extensions defines a group law for the objects of the category $\mathbf{Ext}(K_1, K_2)$, which is therefore a strictly commutative Picard category. The zero object (E_0, β_0, γ_0) of $\mathbf{Ext}(K_1, K_2)$ with respect to this group law consists of

- the trivial extension $E_0 = B_1 \times B_2$ of B_1 by B_2, i.e. the zero object of $\mathbf{Ext}(B_1, B_2)$, and
- the trivialization $\beta_0 = (id_{A_1}, 0)$ of the extension $u_1^* E_0 = A_1 \times B_2$ of A_1 by B_2. We can consider β_0 as the lifting $(u_1, 0) : A_1 \to B_1 \times B_2$ of $u_1 : A_1 \to B_1$.
- the trivial extension T_0 of A_1 by A_2 (i.e. $T_0 = (T_0, \gamma_0)$ with $T_0 = A_1 \times A_2$ and $\gamma_0 = (id_{A_1}, 0)$) and the isomorphism of extension $\Theta_0 = (id_{A_1}, id_{B_2}) : {u_2}_* T_0 \to u_1^* E_0$.

The group of automorphisms of any object (E, β, γ) of $\mathbf{Ext}(K_1, K_2)$ is canonically isomorphic to the group of automorphisms $\mathrm{Aut}(E_0, \beta_0, \gamma_0)$ of the zero object (E_0, β_0, γ_0) of $\mathbf{Ext}(K_1, K_2)$: to an automorphism $(\underline{F}, \underline{\Upsilon}, \underline{\Phi})$ of (E_0, β_0, γ_0) the canonical isomorphism associates the automorphism $(\underline{F}, \underline{\Upsilon}, \underline{\Phi}) + id_{(E,\beta,\gamma)}$ of $(E_0, \beta_0, \gamma_0) + (E, \beta, \gamma) \cong (E, \beta, \gamma)$. Explicitly, $\mathrm{Aut}(E_0, \beta_0, \gamma_0)$ consists of the couple (f_0, f_1) where

- $f_0 : B_1 \to B_2$ is an automorphism of the trivial extension E_0 (i.e. $f_0 \in \mathrm{Aut}(E_0) = \mathrm{Ext}^0(B_1, B_2)$), and
- $f_1 : A_1 \to A_2$ is an automorphism of the trivial extension T_0 (i.e. $f_1 \in \mathrm{Aut}(T_0) = \mathrm{Ext}^0(A_1, A_2)$) such that, via the isomorphism of extensions $\Theta_0 : {u_2}_* T_0 \to u_1^* E_0$, the push-down ${u_2}_* f_1$ of the automorphism f_1 of T_0 is compatible with the pull-back $u_1^* f_0$ of the automorphism f_0 of E_0, i.e. $u_2 \circ f_1 = f_0 \circ u_1$.

We have therefore the canonical isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Aut}(E_0, \beta_0) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})}(K_1, K_2)$$

where $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$ is the category of cochain complexes of objects of $\mathcal{C}$. The group law of the category $\mathbf{Ext}(K_1, K_2)$ induces a group law on the set of isomorphism classes of objects of $\mathbf{Ext}(K_1, K_2)$, which is canonically isomorphic to the group $\mathrm{Ext}^1(K_1, K_2)$, as we will prove in Corollary 4.3.

Let $K_i = [A_i \stackrel{u_i}{\to} B_i]$ (for $i = 1, 2, 3$) be a complex of objects of $\mathcal{C}$ with A_i in degree 1 and B_i in degree 0.

Definition 1.3. A **biextension** $(\mathcal{B}, \Psi_1, \Psi_2, \lambda)$ **of** (K_1, K_2) **by** K_3 consists of

1. a biextension $\mathcal{B}$ of (B_1, B_2) by B_3;
2. a trivialization

$$\Psi_1 : A_1 \times B_2 \longrightarrow (u_1, id_{B_2})^* \mathcal{B}$$

of the biextension $(u_1, id_{B_2})^* \mathcal{B}$ of (A_1, B_2) by B_3 obtained as pull-back of $\mathcal{B}$ via $(u_1, id_{B_2}) : A_1 \times B_2 \to B_1 \times B_2$, and a trivialization

$$\Psi_2 : B_1 \times A_2 \longrightarrow (id_{B_1}, u_2)^* \mathcal{B}$$

of the biextension $(id_{B_1}, u_2)^* \mathcal{B}$ of (B_1, A_2) by B_3 obtained as pull-back of $\mathcal{B}$ via $(id_{B_1}, u_2) : B_1 \times A_2 \to B_1 \times B_2$. These two trivializations Ψ_1 and Ψ_2 have to coincide over $A_1 \times A_2$;

(3) a trivial biextension $\mathcal{T}_1 = (\mathcal{T}_1, \lambda_1)$ of (A_1, B_2) by A_3, an isomorphism of biextensions

$$\Theta_1 : {u_3}_*\mathcal{T}_1 \longrightarrow (u_1, id_{B_2})^*\mathcal{B}$$

between the push-down via $u_3 : A_3 \rightarrow B_3$ of $\mathcal{T}_1$ and $(u_1, id_{B_2})^*\mathcal{B}$, a trivial biextension $\mathcal{T}_2 = (\mathcal{T}_2, \lambda_2)$ of (B_1, A_2) by A_3 and an isomorphism of biextensions

$$\Theta_2 : {u_3}_*\mathcal{T}_2 \longrightarrow (id_{B_1}, u_2)^*\mathcal{B}$$

between the push-down via $u_3 : A_3 \rightarrow B_3$ of $\mathcal{T}_2$ and $(id_{B_1}, u_2)^*\mathcal{B}$. Through the isomorphism Θ_1 the trivialization $u_3 \circ \lambda_1$ of ${u_3}_*\mathcal{T}_1$ is compatible with the trivialization Ψ_1 of $(u_1, id_{B_2})^*\mathcal{B}$, and through the isomorphism Θ_2 the trivialization $u_3 \circ \lambda_2$ of ${u_3}_*\mathcal{T}_2$ is compatible with the trivialization Ψ_2 of $(id_{B_1}, u_2)^*\mathcal{B}$. The two trivializations λ_1 and λ_2 have to coincide over $A_1 \times A_2$, i.e. $(id_{A_1}, u_2)^*\mathcal{T}_1 = (u_1, id_{A_2})^*\mathcal{T}_2$ (we will denote this biextension by $\mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{T}, \lambda)$ with λ the restriction of the trivializations λ_1 and λ_2 over $A_1 \times A_2$). Moreover, we require an isomorphism of biextensions

$$\Theta : {u_3}_*\mathcal{T} \longrightarrow (u_1, u_2)^*\mathcal{B}$$

which is compatible with the isomorphisms Θ_1 and Θ_2 and through which the trivialization $u_3 \circ \lambda$ of ${u_3}_*\mathcal{T}$ is compatible with the restriction Ψ of the trivializations Ψ_1 and Ψ_2 over $A_1 \times A_2$.

Condition (3) can be rewritten as

(3') an homomorphism $\lambda : A_1 \otimes A_2 \rightarrow A_3$ such that $u_3 \circ \lambda$ is compatible with Ψ.

Let $K_i = [A_i \overset{u_i}{\rightarrow} B_i]$ and $K'_i = [A'_i \overset{u'_i}{\rightarrow} B'_i]$ (for $i = 1, 2, 3$) be complexes of objects of $\mathcal{C}$ concentrated in degrees 1 and 0. Let $(\mathcal{B}, \Psi_1, \Psi_2, \lambda)$ be a biextension of (K_1, K_2) by K_3 and let $(\mathcal{B}', \Psi'_1, \Psi'_2, \lambda')$ be a biextension of (K'_1, K'_2) by K'_3.

Definition 1.4. A **morphism of biextensions**

$$(\underline{F}, \underline{\Upsilon}_1, \underline{\Upsilon}_2, \underline{\Phi}) : (\mathcal{B}, \Psi_1, \Psi_2, \lambda) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{B}', \Psi'_1, \Psi'_2, \lambda')$$

consists of

(1) a morphism $\underline{F} = (F, f_1, f_2, f_3) : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}'$ from the biextension $\mathcal{B}$ to the biextension $\mathcal{B}'$. In particular, $F : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}'$ is a morphism of the sheaves underlying $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B}'$, and

$$f_1 : B_1 \longrightarrow B'_1 \qquad f_2 : B_2 \longrightarrow B'_2 \qquad f_3 : B_3 \longrightarrow B'_3$$

are homomorphisms of commutative groups of $\mathbf{T}$.

(2) a morphism of biextensions

$$\underline{\Upsilon}_1 = (\Upsilon_1, g_1, f_2, f_3) : (u_1, id_{B_2})^*\mathcal{B} \longrightarrow (u'_1, id_{B'_2})^*\mathcal{B}'$$

compatible with the morphism $\underline{F} = (F, f_1, f_2, f_3)$ and with the trivializations Ψ_1 and Ψ'_1, and a morphism of biextensions

$$\underline{\Upsilon}_2 = (\Upsilon_2, f_1, g_2, f_3) : (id_{B_1}, u_2)^*\mathcal{B} \longrightarrow (id_{B'_1}, u'_2)^*\mathcal{B}'$$

compatible with the morphism $\underline{F} = (F, f_1, f_2, f_3)$ and with the trivializations Ψ_2 and Ψ'_2. In particular, $\Upsilon_1 : (u_1, id_{B_2})^*\mathcal{B} \rightarrow (u'_1, id_{B'_2})^*\mathcal{B}'$ is a morphism of the sheaves underlying $(u_1, id_{B_2})^*\mathcal{B}$ and $(u'_1, id_{B'_2})^*\mathcal{B}'$,

$\Upsilon_2 : (id_{B_1}, u_2)^*\mathcal{B} \to (id_{B'_1}, u'_2)^*\mathcal{B}'$ is a morphism of the sheaves underlying $(id_{B_1}, u_2)^*\mathcal{B}$ and $(id_{B'_1}, u'_2)^*\mathcal{B}'$, and

$$g_1 : A_1 \longrightarrow A'_1 \qquad g_2 : A_2 \longrightarrow A'_2$$

are homomorphisms of commutative groups of $\mathbf{T}$. By pull-back, the two morphisms $\underline{\Upsilon}_1 = (\Upsilon_1, g_1, f_2, f_3)$ and $\underline{\Upsilon}_2 = (\Upsilon_2, f_1, g_2, f_3)$ define a morphism of biextensions

$$\underline{\Upsilon} = (\Upsilon, g_1, g_2, f_3) : (u_1, u_2)^*\mathcal{B} \longrightarrow (u'_1, u'_2)^*\mathcal{B}'$$

compatible with the morphism $\underline{F} = (F, f_1, f_2, f_3)$ and with the trivializations Ψ (restriction of Ψ_1 and Ψ_2 over $A_1 \times A_2$) and Ψ' (restriction of Ψ'_1 and Ψ'_2 over $A'_1 \times A'_2$).

(3) a morphism of biextensions

$$\underline{\Phi}_1 = (\Phi_1, g_1, f_2, g_3) : \mathcal{T}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}'_1$$

compatible with the morphism $\underline{\Upsilon}_1 = (\Upsilon, g_1, f_2, f_3)$ and with the trivializations λ_1 and λ'_1, and a morphism of biextensions

$$\underline{\Phi}_2 = (\Phi_2, f_1, g_2, g_3) : \mathcal{T}_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}'_2$$

compatible with the morphism $\underline{\Upsilon}_2 = (\Upsilon_2, f_1, g_2, f_3)$ and with the trivializations λ_2 and λ'_2. In particular, $\Phi_1 : \mathcal{T}_1 \to \mathcal{T}'_1$ is a morphism of the sheaves underlying $\mathcal{T}_1$ and $\mathcal{T}'_1$, $\Phi_2 : \mathcal{T}_2 \to \mathcal{T}'_2$ is a morphism of the sheaves underlying $\mathcal{T}_2$ and $\mathcal{T}'_2$, and

$$g_3 : A_3 \longrightarrow A'_3$$

is an homomorphism of commutative groups of $\mathbf{T}$. By pull-back, the two morphisms $\underline{\Phi}_1 = (\Phi_1, g_1, f_2, g_3)$ and $\underline{\Phi}_2 = (\Phi_2, f_1, g_2, g_3)$ define a morphism of biextensions

$$\underline{\Phi} = (\Phi, g_1, g_2, g_3) : \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}'$$

compatible with the morphism $\underline{\Upsilon} = (\Upsilon, g_1, g_2, f_3)$ and with the trivializations λ (restriction of λ_1 and λ_2 over $A_1 \times A_2$) and λ' (restriction of λ'_1 and λ'_2 over $A_1 \times A_2$).

Condition (3) can be rewritten as

(3') an homomorphism $g_3 : A_3 \to A'_3$ of commutative groups of $\mathbf{T}$ compatible with u_3 and u'_3 (i.e. $u'_3 \circ g_3 = f_3 \circ u_3$) and such that

$$\lambda' \circ (g_1 \times g_2) = g_3 \circ \lambda.$$

Explicitly, the compatibility of $\underline{\Upsilon}_1$ with $\underline{F}$, Ψ_1 and Ψ'_1 means that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_1 \times B_2 \arrow[r, "\Psi_1"] \arrow[d, "g_1 \times f_2"'] & (u_1, id_{B_2})^*\mathcal{B} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\Upsilon_1"'] & \mathcal{B} \arrow[d, "F"] \\
A'_1 \times B'_2 \arrow[r, "\Psi'_1"] & (u'_1, id_{B'_2})^*\mathcal{B}' \arrow[r] & \mathcal{B}'.
\end{tikzcd}$$

The compatibility of $\underline{\Upsilon}_2$ with $\underline{F}$, Ψ_2 and Ψ'_2 means that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
B_1 \times A_2 \arrow[r, "\Psi_2"] \arrow[d, "f_1 \times g_2"'] & (id_{B_1}, u_2)^*\mathcal{B} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\Upsilon_2"'] & \mathcal{B} \arrow[d, "F"] \\
B'_1 \times A'_2 \arrow[r, "\Psi'_2"] & (id_{B'_1}, u'_2)^*\mathcal{B}' \arrow[r] & \mathcal{B}'.
\end{tikzcd}$$

The compatibility of $\underline{\Upsilon}$ with $\underline{F}$, Ψ and Ψ' means that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_1 \times A_2 \arrow[r, "\Psi"] \arrow[d, "g_1 \times g_2"'] & (u_1,u_2)^*\mathcal{B} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\Upsilon"'] & \mathcal{B} \arrow[d, "F"] \\
A'_1 \times A'_2 \arrow[r, "\Psi'"] & (u'_1,u'_2)^*\mathcal{B}' \arrow[r] & \mathcal{B}'.
\end{tikzcd}$$

The compatibility of $\underline{\Phi}_1$ with $\underline{\Upsilon}_1$, λ_1 and λ'_1 means that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_1 \times B_2 \arrow[r, "\lambda_1"] \arrow[d, "g_1 \times f_2"'] & \mathcal{T}_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\Phi_1"'] & u_{3\,*}\mathcal{T}_1 \arrow[r, phantom, "\overset{\Theta_1}{\cong}"] & (u_1, id_{B_2})^*\mathcal{B} \arrow[d, "\Upsilon_1"] \\
A'_1 \times B'_2 \arrow[r, "\lambda'_1"] & \mathcal{T}'_1 \arrow[r] & u'_{3\,*}\mathcal{T}'_1 \arrow[r, phantom, "\overset{\Theta'_1}{\cong}"] & (u'_1, id_{B'_2})^*\mathcal{B}'.
\end{tikzcd}$$

The compatibility of $\underline{\Phi}_2$ with $\underline{\Upsilon}_2$, λ_2 and λ'_2 means that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
B_1 \times A_2 \arrow[r, "\lambda_2"] \arrow[d, "f_1 \times g_2"'] & \mathcal{T}_2 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\Phi_2"'] & u_{3\,*}\mathcal{T}_2 \arrow[r, phantom, "\overset{\Theta_2}{\cong}"] & (id_{B_1}, u_2)^*\mathcal{B} \arrow[d, "\Upsilon_2"] \\
B'_1 \times A'_2 \arrow[r, "\lambda'_2"] & \mathcal{T}'_2 \arrow[r] & u'_{3\,*}\mathcal{T}'_2 \arrow[r, phantom, "\overset{\Theta'_2}{\cong}"] & (id_{B'_1}, u'_2)^*\mathcal{B}'.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Finally, the compatibility of $\underline{\Phi}$ with $\underline{\Upsilon}$, λ and λ' means that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_1 \times A_2 \arrow[r, "\lambda"] \arrow[d, "g_1 \times g_2"'] & \mathcal{T} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\Phi"'] & u_{3\,*}\mathcal{T} \arrow[r, phantom, "\overset{\Theta}{\cong}"] & (u_1, u_2)^*\mathcal{B} \arrow[d, "\Upsilon"] \\
A'_1 \times A'_2 \arrow[r, "\lambda'"] & \mathcal{T}' \arrow[r] & u'_{3\,*}\mathcal{T}' \arrow[r, phantom, "\overset{\Theta'}{\cong}"] & (u'_1, u'_2)^*\mathcal{B}'.
\end{tikzcd}$$

We denote by $\mathbf{Biext}(K_1, K_2; K_3)$ the category of biextensions of (K_1, K_2) by K_3. If the complexes K_1 and K_2 are fixed, the category $\mathbf{Biext}(K_1, K_2; *)$ of biextensions of (K_1, K_2) by variable complexes K_3 is an additive cofibred category over $\mathcal{D}^{[1,0]}(\mathcal{C})$

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\mathbf{Biext}(K_1, K_2; *) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}^{[1,0]}(\mathcal{C}) \\
\mathbf{Biext}(K_1, K_2; K_3) & \mapsto & K_3
\end{array}$$

This is an easy consequence of the fact that the category of biextensions of objects of $\mathcal{C}$ is an additive cofibred category over $\mathcal{C}$ (see [G] Exposé VII 2.4). The Baer sum of extensions defines a group law for the objects of the category $\mathbf{Biext}(K_1, K_2; K_3)$ which is therefore a strictly commutative Picard category (see [G] Exposé VII 2.5). The zero object $(\mathcal{B}_0, \Psi_{01}, \Psi_{02}, \lambda_0)$ of $\mathbf{Biext}(K_1, K_2; K_3)$ with respect to this group law consists of

- the trivial biextension $\mathcal{B}_0 = B_1 \times B_2 \times B_3$ of (B_1, B_2) by B_3, i.e. the zero object of $\mathbf{Biext}(B_1, B_2; B_3)$, and
- the trivialization $\Psi_{01} = (id_{A_1}, id_{B_2}, 0)$ (resp. $\Psi_{02} = (id_{B_1}, id_{A_2}, 0)$) of the biextension $(u_1, id_{B_2})^*\mathcal{B}_0 = A_1 \times B_2 \times B_3$ of (A_1, B_2) by B_3 (resp. of the biextension $(id_{B_1}, u_2)^*\mathcal{B}_0 = B_1 \times A_2 \times B_3$ of $(B_1 \times A_2)$ by B_3),
- the trivial biextension $\mathcal{T}_{10}$ of (A_1, B_2) by A_3 (i.e. $\mathcal{T}_{10} = (\mathcal{T}_{10}, \lambda_{10})$ with $\mathcal{T}_{10} = A_1 \times B_2 \times A_3$ and $\lambda_{10} = (id_{A_1}, id_{B_2}, 0)$), the isomorphism of biextensions $\Theta_{10} = (id_{A_1}, id_{B_2}, id_{B_3}) : u_{3\,*}\mathcal{T}_{10} \to (u_1, id_{B_2})^*\mathcal{B}_0$, the trivial biextension $\mathcal{T}_{01}$ of (B_1, A_2) by A_3 (i.e. $\mathcal{T}_{01} = (\mathcal{T}_{01}, \lambda_{01})$ with $\mathcal{T}_{10} = B_1 \times A_2 \times A_3$ and $\lambda_{01} = (id_{B_1}, id_{A_2}, 0)$) and the isomorphism of biextensions $\Theta_{01} =$

$(id_{B_1}, id_{A_2}, id_{B_3}) : u_{3*}\mathcal{T}_{10} \rightarrow (id_{B_1}, u_2)^*\mathcal{B}_0$. In particular the restriction of λ_{10} and λ_{01} over $A_1 \times A_2$ is $\lambda_0 = (id_{A_1}, id_{A_2}, 0)$

The group of automorphisms of any object of $\mathbf{Biext}(K_1, K_2; K_3)$ is canonically isomorphic to the group of automorphisms of the zero object $(\mathcal{B}_0, \Psi_{01}, \Psi_{02}, \lambda_0)$, that we denote $\mathrm{Biext}^0(K_1, K_2; K_3)$. Explicitly, $\mathrm{Biext}^0(K_1, K_2; K_3)$ consists of the couple $(f_0, (f_{10}, f_{01}))$ where

- $f_0 : B_1 \otimes B_2 \rightarrow B_3$ is an automorphism of the trivial biextension $\mathcal{B}_0$ (i.e. $f_0 \in \mathrm{Biext}^0(B_1, B_2; B_3)$), and
- $f_{10} : A_1 \otimes B_2 \rightarrow A_3$ is an automorphism of the trivial biextension $\mathcal{T}_{10}$ (i.e. $f_{10} \in \mathrm{Biext}^0(A_1, B_2; A_3)$) and $f_{01} : B_1 \otimes A_2 \rightarrow A_3$ is an automorphism of the trivial biextension $\mathcal{T}_{01}$ (i.e. $f_{01} \in \mathrm{Biext}^0(B_1, A_2; A_3)$) such that, via the isomorphisms of biextensions $\Theta_{10} : u_{3*}\mathcal{T}_{10} \rightarrow (u_1, id_{B_2})^*\mathcal{B}_0$ and $\Theta_{01} : u_{3*}\mathcal{T}_{01} \rightarrow (id_{B_1}, u_2)^*\mathcal{B}_0$, the push-down $u_{3*}f_{10}$ of f_{10} is compatible with the pull-back $(u_1, id_{B_2})^*f_0$ of f_0, and the push-down $u_{3*}f_{01}$ of f_{01} is compatible with the pull-back $(id_{B_1}, u_2)^*f_0$ of f_0, i.e. such that the following diagram commute

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_1 \otimes B_2 + B_1 \otimes A_2 \arrow[r, "(u_1,id)+(id,u_2)"] \arrow[d, "f_{10}+f_{01}"'] & B_1 \otimes B_2 \arrow[d, "f_0"] \\
A_3 \arrow[r, "u_3"'] & B_3.
\end{tikzcd}$$

We have therefore the canonical isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{B}_0, \Psi_{01}, \Psi_{02}, \lambda_0) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})}(K_1 \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes} K_2, K_3)$$

where $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$ is the category of cochain complexes of objects of $\mathcal{C}$. The group law of the category $\mathbf{Biext}(K_1, K_2; K_3)$ induces a group law on the set of isomorphism classes of objects of $\mathbf{Biext}(K_1, K_2; K_3)$, that we denote by $\mathrm{Biext}^1(K_1, K_2; K_3)$.

Remark 1.5. According to the above geometrical definitions of extensions and biextensions of complexes, we have the following equivalence of categories

$$\mathbf{Biext}(K_1, [0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}]; K_3) \cong \mathbf{Ext}(K_1, K_3).$$

Moreover we have also the following isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Biext}^i(K_1, [\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0]; K_3) = \begin{cases} \mathrm{Hom}(B_1, A_3), & i = 0; \\ \mathrm{Hom}(K_1, K_3), & i = 1. \end{cases}$$

Note that we get the same results applying the homological interpretation of biextensions furnished by our main Theorem 0.1.

2. The additive cofibred category $\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot(L..)}}$

Consider the following bicomplex L.. of objects of $\mathcal{C}$:

(2.1)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \overbrace{\qquad}^{\mathrm{L}_{3*}} & \overbrace{\qquad}^{\mathrm{L}_{2*}} & \overbrace{\qquad}^{\mathrm{L}_{1*}} & \overbrace{\qquad}^{\mathrm{L}_{0*}} & \\
\mathrm{L}_{*3}\ \{ & & & & 0 \arrow[d] & \\
\mathrm{L}_{*2}\ \{ & & & 0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{L}_{02} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "d_{01}"] & 0 \\
\mathrm{L}_{*1}\ \{ & & 0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{L}_{11} \arrow[r, "D_{01}"] \arrow[d, "d_{10}"] & \mathrm{L}_{01} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "d_{00}"] & 0 \\
\mathrm{L}_{*0}(K)\ \{ & 0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{L}_{20} \arrow[r, "D_{10}"] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{L}_{10} \arrow[r, "D_{00}"] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{L}_{00} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
 & & 0 \arrow[r] & 0 \arrow[r] & 0 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

The total complex Tot(L..) is the complex

$$\mathrm{L}_{02}+\mathrm{L}_{11}+\mathrm{L}_{20} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{D}_1} \mathrm{L}_{01}+\mathrm{L}_{10} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{D}_0} \mathrm{L}_{00} \longrightarrow 0$$

where the differential operators $\mathbb{D}_1$ and $\mathbb{D}_0$ can be computed from the diagram (2.1). In this section we define an additive cofibred category $\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot(L..)}}$ over $\mathcal{D}^{[1,0]}(\mathcal{C})$. Let $K=[A \overset{u}{\to} B]$ be an object of $\mathcal{D}^{[1,0]}(\mathcal{C})$.

Definition 2.1. Denote by

$$\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot(L..)}}(K)$$

the category whose **objects** are 4-tuples (E,α,β,γ) where

(1) E is an extension of L_{00} by B;

(2) (α,β) is a trivialization of the extension $\mathbb{D}_0^*E$ of $\mathrm{L}_{01}+\mathrm{L}_{10}$ by B obtained as pull-back of E via $\mathbb{D}_0$. Moreover we require that the corresponding trivialization $\mathbb{D}_1^*(\alpha,\beta)$ of $\mathbb{D}_1^*\mathbb{D}_0^*E$ is the trivialization arising from the isomorphism of transitivity $\mathbb{D}_1^*\mathbb{D}_0^*E \cong (\mathbb{D}_0\circ\mathbb{D}_1)^*E$ and the relation $\mathbb{D}_0\circ\mathbb{D}_1=0$. Note that to have such a trivialization (α,β) is the same thing as to have a lifting $(\widetilde{\alpha},\widetilde{\beta}):\mathrm{L}_{01}+\mathrm{L}_{10}\to E$ of $\mathbb{D}_0:\mathrm{L}_{01}+\mathrm{L}_{10}\to\mathrm{L}_{00}$ such that $(\widetilde{\alpha},\widetilde{\beta})\circ\mathbb{D}_1=0$.

(3) a trivial extension $T=(T,\gamma)$ of L_{10} by A and an isomorphism of extensions

$$\Theta : u_*T \longrightarrow D_{00}^*E$$

between the push-down via $u:A\to B$ of T and D_{00}^*E. Through this isomorphism the trivialization $u\circ\gamma$ of u_*T is compatible with the trivialization β of D_{00}^*E. Moreover we require an isomorphism of extensions

$$D_{10}^*u_*T \longrightarrow D_{10}^*D_{00}^*E$$

which is compatible with Θ and through which the restriction of the trivialization $u\circ\gamma$ over L_{20} is compatible with the restriction $D_{10}^*\beta$ of the trivialization β over L_{20}.

A **morphism** $(F,id,f_B,f_A):(E,\alpha,\beta,\gamma)\to(E',\alpha',\beta',\gamma')$ between two objects of $\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot(L..)}}(K)$ consists of

(1) a morphism of extensions $(F,id_{\mathrm{L}_{00}},f):E\to E'$ inducing the identity on L_{00}. In particular, $F:E\to E'$ is a morphism of the sheaves underlying E and E' and

$$f : B \longrightarrow B$$

is an homomorphism of commutative groups of $\mathbf{T}$. By pull-back the morphism $(F, id_{\mathrm{L}_{00}}, f)$ defines a morphism of extensions

$$(H, id_{\mathrm{L}_{01}+\mathrm{L}_{10}}, f) : \mathbb{D}_0^* E \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}_0^* E'$$

inducing the identity on $\mathrm{L}_{01} + \mathrm{L}_{10}$ and compatible with the trivializations α, α' and β, β' respectively. In other words, $F \circ \alpha = \alpha'$ and $F \circ \beta = \beta'$. Here $H : \mathbb{D}_0^* E \to \mathbb{D}_0^* E'$ is a morphism of the sheaves underlying $\mathbb{D}_0^* E$ and $\mathbb{D}_0^* E'$. Again by pull-back the morphism $(H, id_{\mathrm{L}_{01}+\mathrm{L}_{10}}, f)$ defines a morphism of extensions

$$(I, id_{\mathrm{L}_{20}}, f) : D_{10}^* D_{00}^* E \longrightarrow D_{10}^* D_{00}^* E'$$

inducing the identity on L_{20} and compatible with the trivializations $D_{10}^* \beta$ and $D_{10}^* \beta'$.

(2) a morphism of extensions $(G, id_{\mathrm{L}_{10}}, g) : T \to T'$ inducing the identity on L_{10}, compatible with the morphism $(H, id_{\mathrm{L}_{01}+\mathrm{L}_{10}}, f)$, and such that $G \circ \gamma = \gamma'$. Here $G : T \to T'$ is a morphism of the sheaves underlying T and T' and

$$g : A \longrightarrow A.$$

is an homomorphism of commutative groups of $\mathbf{T}$. By pull-back, the morphism $(G, id_{\mathrm{L}_{10}}, g)$ defines a morphism of extensions

$$(J, id_{\mathrm{L}_{20}}, g) : D_{10}^* T \longrightarrow D_{10}^* T'$$

inducing the identity on L_{20}, compatible with the morphism $(I, id_{\mathrm{L}_{20}}, f) : D_{10}^* D_{00}^* E \to D_{10}^* D_{00}^* E'$ and with the restriction of the trivializations γ and γ' over L_{20}.

Concerning the objects of the category $\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot(L..)}}(K)$, condition (3) can be rewritten as

(3') a homomorphism $\gamma : \mathrm{L}_{20} \to A$ such that the composite $\mathrm{L}_{20} \overset{\gamma}{\to} A \overset{u}{\to} B$ is compatible with the restriction $D_{10}^* \beta$ of the trivialization β over L_{20}.

Concerning the morphisms of the category $\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot(L..)}}(K)$, condition (2) can be rewritten as

(2') a homomorphism $g : A \to A$ such that $g \circ \gamma = \gamma'$.

Remark that the conditions $u \circ \gamma = D_{10}^* \beta$ and $u \circ \gamma' = D_{10}^* \beta'$ imply that $f \circ u = u \circ g$, i.e. the couple (g, f) defines a morphism of complexes $K \to K$.

The composition of morphisms of $\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot(L..)}}(K)$ is defined using the composition of morphisms of extensions and the composition of morphisms of complexes $(g, f) : [A \overset{u}{\to} B] \to [A \overset{u}{\to} B]$.

We have a functor

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Pi : \Psi_{\mathrm{Tot(L..)}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}^{[1,0]}(\mathcal{C}) \\ \Psi_{\mathrm{Tot(L..)}}(K) & \mapsto & K \end{array}$$

which is cofibring. In fact, let $(f_1, f_0) : K = [A \overset{u}{\to} B] \to K' = [A' \overset{u'}{\to} B']$ be a morphism of $\mathcal{D}^{[1,0]}(\mathcal{C})$, and let $(E, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ be an object of the fibre $\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot(L..)}}(K)$ over K. Denote by $(f_0)_* E$ the push-down of E via the homomorphism $f_0 : B \to B'$ and by $(F, id, f_0) : E \to E'$ the corresponding morphism of extensions inducing the identity on L_{00}. The object $((f_0)_* E, F \circ \alpha, F \circ \beta, f_1 \circ \gamma)$ is clearly an object of the fibre $\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot(L..)}}(K')$ over K' and the morphism

$$(F, id, f_0, f_1) : (E, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \longrightarrow ((f_0)_* E, F \circ \alpha, F \circ \beta, f_1 \circ \gamma)$$

is a cocartesian morphism for the functor $\Pi : \Psi_{\mathrm{Tot(L..)}} \to \mathcal{D}^{[1,0]}(\mathcal{C})$ (it is enough to use the analogous property of the morphism of extensions $(F, id, f_0) : E \to (f_0)_*E$ which is a classical result). Therefore the category $\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot(L..)}}$ is a cofibred category over $\mathcal{D}^{[1,0]}(\mathcal{C})$.

Finally, the cofibred category $\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot(L..)}}$ is additive, i.e. it satisfies the two following conditions:

1. $\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot(L..)}}(0)$ is equivalent to the trivial category;
2. $\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot(L..)}}(K \times K') \to \Psi_{\mathrm{Tot(L..)}}(K) \times \Psi_{\mathrm{Tot(L..)}}(K')$ is an equivalence of category for any object K, K' of $\mathcal{D}^{[1,0]}(\mathcal{C})$.

This is an consequence of the fact that the cofibred category $\mathbf{Ext}(\mathrm{L}_{00}, *)$ of extensions of L_{00} by objects of $\mathcal{C}$ is additive.

For any object $K = [A \xrightarrow{u} B]$ of $\mathcal{D}^{[1,0]}(\mathcal{C})$, the Baer sum of extensions defines a group law for the objects of the category $\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot(L..)}}(K)$. The zero object of $\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot(L..)}}(K)$ with respect to this group law is the 4-tuple $(E_0, \alpha_0, \beta_0, \gamma_0)$ where

- $E_0 = \mathrm{L}_{00} \times B$ is the trivial extension of L_{00} by B, i.e. the zero object of $\mathbf{Ext}(\mathrm{L}_{00}, B)$, and
- α_0 is the trivialization $(id_{\mathrm{L}_{01}}, 0)$ of the extension $d_{00}^*E_0 = \mathrm{L}_{01} \times B$ of L_{01} by B; β_0 is the trivialization $(id_{\mathrm{L}_{10}}, 0)$ of the extension $D_{00}^*E_0 = \mathrm{L}_{10} \times B$ of L_{10} by B. We can consider α_0 (resp. β_0) as the lifting $(d_{00}, 0)$ (resp. $(D_{00}, 0)$) of $d_{00} : \mathrm{L}_{01} \to \mathrm{L}_{00}$ (resp. of $D_{00} : \mathrm{L}_{10} \to \mathrm{L}_{00}$),
- the trivial extension T_0 of L_{10} by A (i.e. $T_0 = (T_0, \gamma_0)$ with $T_0 = \mathrm{L}_{10} \times A$ and $\gamma_0 = (id_{\mathrm{L}_{10}}, 0)$) and the isomorphism $\Theta_0 = (id_{\mathrm{L}_{10}}, id_B) : u_*T_0 \to D_{00}^*E_0$.

The group of automorphisms of any object of $\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot(L..)}}(K)$ is canonically isomorphic to the group of automorphisms of the zero object of $\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot(L..)}}(K)$, that we denote by $\Psi^0_{\mathrm{Tot(L..)}}(K)$. Explicitly, $\Psi^0_{\mathrm{Tot(L..)}}(K)$ consists of the couple (f_0, f_1) where

- $f_0 : \mathrm{L}_{00} \to B$ is an automorphism of the trivial extension E_0 (i.e. $f_0 \in \mathrm{Aut}(E_0) = \mathrm{Ext}^0(\mathrm{L}_{00}, B)$), and
- $f_1 : \mathrm{L}_{10} \to A$ is an automorphism of the trivial extension T_0 (i.e. $f_1 \in \mathrm{Aut}(T_0) = \mathrm{Ext}^0(\mathrm{L}_{10}, A)$) such that, via the isomorphism of extensions $\Theta_0 : u_*T_0 \to D_{00}^*E_0$, the push-down u_*f_1 of f_1 is compatible with the pull-back $D_{00}^*f_0$ of f_0, i.e.

$$u \circ f_1 = f_0 \circ D_{00} \tag{2.2}$$

The group law of the category $\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot(L..)}}(K)$ induces a group law on the set of isomorphism classes of objects of $\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot(L..)}}(K)$, that we denote by $\Psi^1_{\mathrm{Tot(L..)}}(K)$.

3. HOMOLOGICAL DESCRIPTION OF $\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot(L..)}}$

In this section we show the homological description of the groups $\Psi^0_{\mathrm{Tot(L..)}}(K)$ and $\Psi^1_{\mathrm{Tot(L..)}}(K)$. In order to do this we need a technical Lemma which generalizes [R] Proposition 2.3.1. For this Lemma we switch to cohomological notation (instead of using homological notation as in the rest of this paper) because in [R] Raynaud uses cohomological notations. Denote by $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$ the category of cochain complexes of objects of $\mathcal{C}$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let* $L^\cdot = [L^{-2} \xrightarrow{d^{-2}} L^{-1} \xrightarrow{d^{-1}} L^0]$ *and* $K^\cdot = [K^{-1} \xrightarrow{u} K^0]$ *be two cochain complexes of objects of* $\mathcal{C}$.

(1) *If* $\mathrm{Ext}^i(L^0,K^{-1})=0$ *for* $i=0$ *and 1, then*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})}(L^{\cdot},K^{\cdot})=\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})}(L^{\cdot},K^{\cdot}).$$

(2) *Assume* $\mathrm{Ext}^i(L^0,K^{-1})=0$ *for* $i=0,1$ *and 2. Moreover assume that the kernel of the map*

$$d_1^{-11}:\mathrm{Ext}^1(L^0,K^0)\oplus\mathrm{Ext}^1(L^{-1},K^{-1})\to\mathrm{Ext}^1(L^{-1},K^0)\oplus\mathrm{Ext}^1(L^{-2},K^{-1})$$

is trivial. Then there is a surjective map

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})}(L^{\cdot},K^{\cdot}[1])\longrightarrow\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})}(L^{\cdot},K^{\cdot}[1]).$$

Proof. (1) The stupid filtration of the complexes $L^{\cdot}$ and $K^{\cdot}$ furnishes the spectral sequence

$$\mathrm{E}_1^{pq}=\bigoplus_{p_2-p_1=p}\mathrm{Ext}^q(L^{p_1},K^{p_2})\Longrightarrow\mathrm{Ext}^*(L^{\cdot},K^{\cdot}).$$

This spectral sequence is concentrated in the region of the plane defined by $-1\leq p\leq 2$ and $q\geq 0$. We are interested on the total degree 0. For the rows $q=1$ and $q=0$ we have the diagrams

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1(L^0,K^{-1})\to\mathrm{Ext}^1(L^0,K^0)\oplus\mathrm{Ext}^1(L^{-1},K^{-1})\to\mathrm{Ext}^1(L^{-1},K^0)\oplus\mathrm{Ext}^1(L^{-2},K^{-1})\to\mathrm{Ext}^1(L^{-2},K^0)$$

$$\mathrm{Hom}(L^0,K^{-1})\to\mathrm{Hom}(L^0,K^0)\oplus\mathrm{Hom}(L^{-1},K^{-1})\xrightarrow{d_1^{00}}\mathrm{Hom}(L^{-1},K^0)\oplus\mathrm{Hom}(L^{-2},K^{-1})\to\mathrm{Hom}(L^{-2},K^0)$$

Since by hypothesis $\mathrm{Hom}(L^0,K^{-1})=\mathrm{Ext}^1(L^0,K^{-1})=0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})}(L^{\cdot},K^{\cdot}) &= \mathrm{Ext}^0(L^{\cdot},K^{\cdot})\\ &= \mathrm{E}_2^{00}=\ker(d_1^{00})=\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})}(L^{\cdot},K^{\cdot}).\end{aligned}$$

(2) In order to prove (2) we use the same method as in (1): the stupid filtration of the complexes $L^{\cdot}$ and $K^{\cdot}[1]$ furnishes the spectral sequence

$$\mathrm{E}_1^{pq}=\bigoplus_{p_2-p_1=p}\mathrm{Ext}^q(L^{p_1},(K[1])^{p_2})\Longrightarrow\mathrm{Ext}^*(L^{\cdot},K^{\cdot}[1]).$$

This spectral sequence is concentrated in the region of the plane defined by $-2\leq p\leq 1$ and $q\geq 0$. We are interested on the total degree 0. For the rows $q=2$, $q=1$ and $q=0$ we have the diagrams

$$\mathrm{Ext}^2(L^0,K^{-1})\to\mathrm{Ext}^2(L^0,K^0)\oplus\mathrm{Ext}^2(L^{-1},K^{-1})\to\mathrm{Ext}^2(L^{-1},K^0)\oplus\mathrm{Ext}^2(L^{-2},K^{-1})\to\mathrm{Ext}^2(L^{-2},K^0)$$

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1(L^0,K^{-1})\to\mathrm{Ext}^1(L^0,K^0)\oplus\mathrm{Ext}^1(L^{-1},K^{-1})\xrightarrow{d_1^{-11}}\mathrm{Ext}^1(L^{-1},K^0)\oplus\mathrm{Ext}^1(L^{-2},K^{-1})\to\mathrm{Ext}^1(L^{-2},K^0)$$

$$\mathrm{Hom}(L^0,K^{-1})\to\mathrm{Hom}(L^0,K^0)\oplus\mathrm{Hom}(L^{-1},K^{-1})\xrightarrow{d_1^{-10}}\mathrm{Hom}(L^{-1},K^0)\oplus\mathrm{Hom}(L^{-2},K^{-1})\xrightarrow{d_1^{00}}\mathrm{Hom}(L^{-2},K^0)$$

By hypothesis $\mathrm{Ext}^i(L^0,K^{-1})=0$ for $i=0,1,2$ and $\ker(d_1^{-11})=0$ and so we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})}(L^{\cdot},K^{\cdot}) &= \mathrm{Ext}^0(L^{\cdot},K^{\cdot})\\ &= \mathrm{E}_2^{00}=\ker(d_1^{00})/\mathrm{im}(d_1^{-10}).\end{aligned}$$

Since $\ker(d_1^{00})=\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})}(L^{\cdot},K^{\cdot})$ we can conclude. □

Theorem 3.2. *Let* $K=[A\xrightarrow{u}B]$ *be a complex of objects of* $\mathcal{C}$ *concentrated in degrees 1 and 0.*

(1) *If* $\mathrm{Ext}^i(\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..)_0, A) = 0$ *for* $i = 0$ *and 1, we have the following canonical isomorphism*

$$\Psi^0_{\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..)}(K) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^0(\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..), K) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})}(\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..), K).$$

(2) *Assume* $\mathrm{Ext}^i(\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..)_0, A) = 0$ *for* $i = 0, 1$ *and 2. Moreover assume that the kernel of the map*

$$d_1^{-11} : \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..)_0, B) \oplus \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..)_1, A) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..)_1, B) \oplus \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..)_2, A)$$

is trivial. We have the following canonical isomorphism

$$\Psi^1_{\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..)}(K) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..), K) := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})}(\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..), K[1]).$$

Remark 3.3. The hypothesis of this Theorem are needed in order to prove the surjectivity underlying both isomorphisms.

Proof. (1) Let (f_0, f_1) be an element of $\Psi^0_{\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..)}(K)$, i.e. an automorphism of the zero object $(E_0, \alpha_0, \beta_0, \gamma_0)$ of $\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..)}(K)$. We will show that the morphisms $f_0 : \mathrm{L}_{00} \to B$ and $f_1 : \mathrm{L}_{10} \to A$ define a morphism $\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..) \to K$ in the derived category $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$. Consider the diagram

(3.1)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{L}_{02} + \mathrm{L}_{11} + \mathrm{L}_{20} \arrow[r, "\mathbb{D}_1"] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{L}_{01} + \mathrm{L}_{10} \arrow[r, "\mathbb{D}_0"] \arrow[d, "{(0,f_1)}"'] & \mathrm{L}_{00} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "f_0"] & 0 \\
 & 0 \arrow[r] & A \arrow[r, "u"] & B \arrow[r] & 0.
\end{tikzcd}$$

By definition, the morphisms $f_0 : \mathrm{L}_{00} \to B$ and $f_1 : \mathrm{L}_{10} \to A$ satisfies the equality (2.2). Moreover the automorphism f_0 of the trivial extension E_0 underlying $(E_0, \alpha_0, \beta_0, \gamma_0)$ is compatible with the trivialization $\alpha_0 = (id_{\mathrm{L}_{01}}, 0)$ of $d^*_{00}E_0$ and so

$$f_0 \circ d_{00} = 0. \tag{3.2}$$

Since $\mathbb{D}_0 = (d_{00}, D_{00})$, we get that $f_0 \circ \mathbb{D}_0 = u \circ (0, f_1)$, i.e. the second square of (3.1) is commutative. Concerning the first square of (3.1), we have to prove that $(0, f_1) \circ \mathbb{D}_1 = 0$ with $\mathbb{D}_1 = (d_{01}, 0) + (D_{01}, d_{10}) + (0, D_{10})$. Clearly

$$(0, f_1) \circ (d_{01}, 0) = 0.$$

The automorphism f_1 of the trivial extension T_0 underlying $(E_0, \alpha_0, \beta_0, \gamma_0)$ is compatible with the restriction $D^*_{10}(\gamma_0)$ of $\gamma_0 = (id_{\mathrm{L}_{10}}, 0)$ over L_{20} and so

$$f_1 \circ D_{10} = 0,$$

i.e. $(0, f_1) \circ (0, D_{10}) = 0$. The equalities (2.2) and (3.2) and the condition $d_{00} \circ D_{01} + D_{00} \circ d_{10} = 0$ give that

$$u \circ f_1 \circ d_{10} = f_0 \circ D_{00} \circ d_{10} = -f_0 \circ d_{00} \circ D_{01} = 0$$

which implies

$$(0, f_1) \circ (D_{01}, d_{10}) = 0.$$

Therefore also the first square of (3.1) is commutative. Hence we have constructed a morphism

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Psi^0_{\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..)}(K) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})}(\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..), K) \\ (f_0, f_1) & \mapsto & (f_0, (0, f_1)) \end{array} \tag{3.3}$$

which is a canonical isomorphism. In fact,

Injectivity: Let (f_0, f_1) be an element of $\Psi^0_{\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..)}(K)$ such that the morphism that it defines in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$ is the zero morphism. Applying the functor $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..),-)$ to the short exact sequence $0 \to B \to K \to A[1] \to 0$, we see that also the morphisms $f_0 : \mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..) \to B$ and $(0, f_1) : \mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..) \to A[1]$ are the zero morphisms of $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$. But these last two morphisms are zero in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$ if and only if the underlying homomorphisms $f_0 : \mathrm{L}_{00} \to B$ and $f_1 : \mathrm{L}_{10} \to A$ are zero in $\mathcal{C}$, i.e. if and only if (f_0, f_1) is the zero element of the group $\Psi^0_{\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..)}(K)$.

Surjectivity: Consider a morphism $(f_0, (f_{01}, f_{10})) : \mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..) \to K$ in the derived category $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$. By Lemma 3.1 $(f_0, (f_{01}, f_{10}))$ is a morphism in the category of chain complexes and so the underlying homomorphisms $f_0 : \mathrm{L}_{00} \to B$, $f_{01} : \mathrm{L}_{01} \to A$ and $f_{10} : \mathrm{L}_{10} \to A$ have to satisfy the condition

$$f_0 \circ \mathbb{D}_0 = u \circ (f_{01}, f_{10}).$$

Because of the symmetry of the bicomplex L.. (2.1), we can assume $f_{01} = 0$ and therefore the above condition becomes

$$f_0 \circ D_{00} = u \circ f_{10}.$$

This equality implies that the homomorphisms f_0 and f_{10} satisfy the equalities (2.2), i.e. (f_0, f_{10}) is an element of $\Psi^0_{\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..)}(K)$.

(2) Let $(E, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ be an object of $\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..)}(K)$. We will show that $(E, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ defines a morphism $\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..) \to K[1]$ in the derived category $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$. Recall that E is an extension of L_{00} by B. Denote $j : E \to \mathrm{L}_{00}$ the corresponding surjective morphism. Consider the complex $[E \xrightarrow{j} \mathrm{L}_{00}]$ with E in degree 0 and L_{00} in degree -1. It is a resolution of B, and so in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$ we have that

$$B = [E \xrightarrow{j} \mathrm{L}_{00}].$$

Since by definition, the data (α, β) can be considered as a lifting $\mathrm{L}_{01} + \mathrm{L}_{10} \to E$ of $\mathbb{D}_0 : \mathrm{L}_{01} + \mathrm{L}_{10} \to \mathrm{L}_{00}$ such that $(\alpha, \beta) \circ \mathbb{D}_1 = 0$, we can construct in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$ the following morphism

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{L}_{02} + \mathrm{L}_{11} + \mathrm{L}_{20} \arrow[r, "\mathbb{D}_1"] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{L}_{01} + \mathrm{L}_{10} \arrow[r, "\mathbb{D}_0"] \arrow[d, "{(\alpha,\beta)}"'] & \mathrm{L}_{00} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "id"] & 0 \\
 & 0 \arrow[r] & E \arrow[r, "j"] & \mathrm{L}_{00} \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd} \tag{3.4}$$

that we denote by

$$c(E, \alpha, \beta) : \mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..) \longrightarrow [E \xrightarrow{j} \mathrm{L}_{00}][1] = B[1].$$

Now we use the homomorphism $\gamma : \mathrm{L}_{20} \to A$ and the above morphism $c(E, \alpha, \beta)$ in order to construct in the derived category $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$ a morphism $c(E, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) : \mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..) \to [A \xrightarrow{u} B][1]$. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{L}_{02} + \mathrm{L}_{11} + \mathrm{L}_{20} \arrow[r, "\mathbb{D}_1"] \arrow[d, "{(0,0,\gamma)}"'] & \mathrm{L}_{01} + \mathrm{L}_{10} \arrow[r, "\mathbb{D}_0"] \arrow[d, "{c(E,\alpha,\beta)}"] & \mathrm{L}_{00} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & A \arrow[r, "u"] & B \arrow[r] & 0 \arrow[r] & 0.
\end{tikzcd} \tag{3.5}$$

Recall that $\mathbb{D}_1 = (d_{01}, 0) + (D_{01}, d_{10}) + (0, D_{10})$. By definition the homomorphism γ satisfies the equality $u \circ \gamma = \beta \circ D_{10}$, and so we have that $c(E, \alpha, \beta)_{|\mathrm{L}_{10}} \circ (0, D_{10}) = u \circ \gamma$. Therefore the diagram (3.5) is commutative. Since the morphism $c(E, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$

depends only on the isomorphism class of the object (E,α,β,γ), we have constructed a canonical morphism

$$\begin{array}{rrcl} (3.6) \qquad & c : \Psi^1_{\mathrm{Tot(L..)}}(K) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})}(\mathrm{Tot(L..)}, K[1]) \\ & (E,\alpha,\beta,\gamma) & \mapsto & c(E,\alpha,\beta,\gamma). \end{array}$$

In order to conclude we have to show that this morphism is an isomorphism.
Injectivity: Let (E,α,β,γ) be an object of $\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot(L..)}}(K)$ such that the morphism $c(E,\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$ that it defines in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$ is the zero morphism. The corresponding morphism $c(E,\alpha,\beta) : \mathrm{Tot(L..)} \rightarrow [E \xrightarrow{j} \mathrm{L}_{00}][1]$ (3.4) must also be zero in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$. Now we will show that $c(E,\alpha,\beta)$ is already zero in the category $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C})$ of complexes modulo homotopy. Recall that the complex $[E \xrightarrow{j} \mathrm{L}_{00}]$ is a resolution of B in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$. The hypothesis that $c(E,\alpha,\beta)$ is zero in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$ implies that there exists a resolution of B in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$, that we can assume of the kind $[C_0 \xrightarrow{i} C_{-1}]$ with C_0 in degree 0 and C_{-1} in degree -1, and a quasi-isomorphism $(v_0, v_{-1}) : [E \xrightarrow{j} \mathrm{L}_{00}] \rightarrow [C_0 \rightarrow C_{-1}]$, explicitly

$$(3.7) \qquad \begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & E \arrow[r, "j"] \arrow[d, "v_0"'] & \mathrm{L}_{00} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "v_{-1}"] & 0 \\ 0 \arrow[r] & C_0 \arrow[r, "i"] & C_{-1} \arrow[r] & 0, \end{tikzcd}$$

such that the composite $(v_0, v_{-1}) \circ c(E,\alpha,\beta)$ is homotopic to zero. Since the morphism (v_0, v_{-1}) induces the identity on B, it identifies E with the fibred product $\mathrm{L}_{00} \times_{C_{-1}} C_0$ of L_{00} and C_0 over C_{-1}. Therefore, the homomorphism $s : \mathrm{L}_{00} \rightarrow C_0$ inducing the homotopy $(v_0, v_{-1}) \circ c(E,\alpha,\beta) \sim 0$, i.e. satisfying $i \circ s = v_{-1} \circ id_{\mathrm{L}_{00}}$, factorizes through a homomorphism

$$S : \mathrm{L}_{00} \longrightarrow E = \mathrm{L}_{00} \times_{C_{-1}} C_0$$

which satisfies $j \circ S = id_{\mathrm{L}_{00}}$. This last equality means that the homomorphism S splits the extension E of L_{00} by B and so the complex $[E \xrightarrow{j} \mathrm{L}_{00}]$ is isomorphic in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C})$ to B, i.e. to the complex $[B \rightarrow 0]$ with B in degree 0. But then it is clear that the morphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C})}(\mathrm{Tot(L..)}, B) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})}(\mathrm{Tot(L..)}, B)$$

is an isomorphism and that $c(E,\alpha,\beta)$ is already zero in the category $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C})$. There exists therefore a homomorphism $h : \mathrm{L}_{00} \rightarrow E$ such that

$$j \circ h = id_{\mathrm{L}_{00}} \qquad\qquad h \circ \mathbb{D}_0 = (\alpha, \beta),$$

i.e. h splits the extension E, which is therefore the trivial extension E_0 of L_{00} by B, and h is compatible with the trivializations (α,β). Hence we can conclude that the object (E,α,β,γ) lies in the isomorphism class of the zero object of $\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot(L..)}}(K)$.
Surjectivity: Applying the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})}(\mathrm{Tot(L..)}, -)$ to the natural exact sequence $0 \rightarrow B[1] \rightarrow K[1] \rightarrow A[2] \rightarrow 0$ we get the long exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})}(\mathrm{Tot(L..)}, B[1]) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})}(\mathrm{Tot(L..)}, K[1]) \longrightarrow$$

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})}(\mathrm{Tot(L..)}, A[2]) \xrightarrow{u\circ} \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathrm{Tot(L..)}, B[1]) \longrightarrow ....$$

The elements of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})}(\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..), A[2])$ which come from $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})}(\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..), K[1])$ are the morphisms $(f_{02}, f_{11}, f_{20}) : \mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..) \rightarrow A[2]$ such that

$$u \circ (f_{02}, f_{11}, f_{20}) = 0.$$

Because of the symmetry of the bicomplex L.. (2.1), we can assume $f_{02} = f_{11} = 0$ and therefore the above condition becomes

$$u \circ f_{20} = 0.$$

This equality implies that the data $(E_0, \alpha_0, \beta_0, f_{20})$ (with $E_0 = \mathrm{L}_{00} \times B$ the trivial extension and α_0, β_0 the trivializations $(id_{\mathrm{L}_{01}}, 0), (id_{\mathrm{L}_{10}}, 0)$ respectively) is an object of $\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..)}(K)$. Moreover by definition of the morphism c (3.6), we have that $c(E_0, \alpha_0, \beta_0, f_{20})$ is the morphism $(0, 0, f_{20}) : \mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..) \rightarrow A[2]$. Hence we have proved that for any morphism (f_{02}, f_{11}, f_{20}) of

$$\mathrm{im}\Big(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})}(\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..), K[1]) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})}(\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..), A[2])\Big) = \ker(u\circ)$$

there is an element of $\Psi^1_{\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..)}(K)$ whose image via c is (f_{02}, f_{11}, f_{20}).
Now we show that for any morphism (f_{01}, f_{10}) of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})}(\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..), B[1])$, there is an element of $\Psi^1_{\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..)}(K)$ whose image via c is (f_{01}, f_{10}). Explicitly the morphism $(f_{01}, f_{10}) : \mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..) \rightarrow B[1]$ is defined by the homomorphisms $f_{01} : \mathrm{L}_{01} \rightarrow B, f_{10} : \mathrm{L}_{10} \rightarrow B$ and by the condition: $(f_{01}, f_{10}) \circ \mathbb{D}_1 = 0$. Consider a resolution of B in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$ of the kind $[C_0 \rightarrow C_{-1}]$ with C_0 in degree 0 and C_{-1} in degree -1. We can then assume that the morphism (f_{01}, f_{10}) comes from the following morphism $\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..) \rightarrow [C_0 \xrightarrow{i} C_{-1}][1]$ of $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{L}_{02} + \mathrm{L}_{11} + \mathrm{L}_{20} \arrow[r, "\mathbb{D}_1"] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{L}_{01} + \mathrm{L}_{10} \arrow[r, "\mathbb{D}_0"] \arrow[d, "{(F_{01}, F_{10})}"'] & \mathrm{L}_{00} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "F_{00}"] & 0 \\
 & 0 \arrow[r] & C_0 \arrow[r, "i"] & C_{-1} \arrow[r] & 0.
\end{tikzcd} \tag{3.8}$$

Since C_0 is an extension of C_{-1} by B, we can consider the extension

$$E = F^*_{00} C_0$$

obtained as pull-back of C_0 via $F_{00} : \mathrm{L}_{00} \rightarrow C_{-1}$. The condition $F_{00} \circ \mathbb{D}_0 = i \circ (F_{01}, F_{10})$ implies that $(F_{01}, F_{10}) : \mathrm{L}_{01} + \mathrm{L}_{10} \rightarrow C_0$ factories through a homomorphism

$$(\alpha, \beta) : \mathrm{L}_{01} + \mathrm{L}_{10} \rightarrow E$$

which satisfies $j \circ (\alpha, \beta) = \mathbb{D}_0$, with $j : E \rightarrow \mathrm{L}_{00}$ the canonical surjection of the extension E. Moreover the condition $(F_{01}, F_{10}) \circ \mathbb{D}_1 = 0$ furnishes the equality $(\alpha, \beta) \circ \mathbb{D}_1 = 0$. Therefore the data $(E, \alpha, \beta, 0)$ is an object of the category $\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..)}(K)$. Consider now the morphism $c(E, \alpha, \beta, 0) : \mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..) \rightarrow K[1]$ associated to $(E, \alpha, \beta, 0)$. By construction, the morphism (3.8) is the composite of the morphism (3.4) deduced from $c(E, \alpha, \beta, 0)$ with the morphism

$$(F, F_{00}) : [E \xrightarrow{j} \mathrm{L}_{00}] \longrightarrow [C_0 \xrightarrow{i} C_{-1}]$$

where F is the canonical morphism $E = F^*_{00} C_0 \rightarrow C_0$. Since this last morphism (F, F_{00}) is a morphism of resolutions of B, we can conclude that in the derived category $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$ the morphism $(f_{01}, f_{10}) : \mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..) \rightarrow B[1]$ is the morphism $c(E, \alpha, \beta, 0)$.
Consider now a morphism $((f_{01}, f_{10}), (f_{02}, f_{11}, f_{20})) : \mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..) \rightarrow [A \xrightarrow{u} B][1]$ in

the derived category $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$. By Lemma 3.1 $((f_{01}, f_{10}), (f_{02}, f_{11}, f_{20}))$ comes from a morphism of chain complexes that we denote again $((f_{01}, f_{10}), (f_{02}, f_{11}, f_{20}))$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{L}_{02} + \mathrm{L}_{11} + \mathrm{L}_{20} \arrow[r, "\mathbb{D}_1"] \arrow[d, "{(f_{02},f_{11},f_{20})}"'] & \mathrm{L}_{01} + \mathrm{L}_{10} \arrow[r, "\mathbb{D}_0"] \arrow[d, "{(f_{01},f_{10})}"] & \mathrm{L}_{00} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & A \arrow[r, "u"] & B \arrow[r] & 0 \arrow[r] & 0.
\end{tikzcd} \tag{3.9}$$

The underlying homomorphisms $(f_{01}, f_{10}) : \mathrm{L}_{01} + \mathrm{L}_{10} \to B, (f_{02}, f_{11}, f_{20}) : \mathrm{L}_{02} + \mathrm{L}_{11} + \mathrm{L}_{20} \to A$ have to satisfy the condition

$$(f_{01}, f_{10}) \circ \mathbb{D}_1 = u \circ (f_{02}, f_{11}, f_{20}).$$

Because of the symmetry of the bicomplex L.. (2.1), we can assume $f_{02} = f_{11} = 0$ and therefore the above condition becomes

$$u \circ f_{20} = f_{10} \circ D_{10}. \tag{3.10}$$

We have already showed that there exists an object $(E, \alpha, \beta, 0)$ of $\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot(L..)}}(K)$ such that $c(E, \alpha, \beta, 0) = (f_{01}, f_{10})$. Now the equality (3.10) means that $(E, \alpha, \beta, f_{20})$ is an object of $\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot(L..)}}(K)$. Moreover by definition of the morphism c (3.6), we have that $c(E, \alpha, \beta, f_{20})$ is the morphism $((f_{01}, f_{10}), (f_{02}, f_{11}, f_{20})) : \mathrm{Tot(L..)} \to [A \overset{u}{\to} B][1]$. □

Using the above homological description of the groups $\Psi^i_{\mathrm{Tot(L..)}}(K)$ for $i = 0, 1$ we can study how the additive cofibred category $\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot(L..)}}(K)$ varies with respect to the bicomplex L... Consider a morphism of bicomplexes

$$f : \mathrm{L}'.. \longrightarrow \mathrm{L}..$$

given by a family of homomorphisms $f_{ij} : \mathrm{L}'_{ij} \to \mathrm{L}_{ij}$. Denote by $\mathrm{Tot}(f) : \mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}'..) \to \mathrm{Tot(L..)}$ the induced morphism between the corresponding total complexes. Explicitly $\mathrm{Tot}(f)$ is given by the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{L}'_{02} + \mathrm{L}'_{11} + \mathrm{L}'_{20} \arrow[r, "\mathbb{D}'_1"] \arrow[d, "f_{02}+f_{12}+f_{20}"'] & \mathrm{L}'_{01} + \mathrm{L}'_{10} \arrow[r, "\mathbb{D}'_0"] \arrow[d, "f_{01}+f_{10}"'] & \mathrm{L}'_{00} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "f_{00}"] & 0 \\
\mathrm{L}_{02} + \mathrm{L}_{11} + \mathrm{L}_{20} \arrow[r, "\mathbb{D}_1"] & \mathrm{L}_{01} + \mathrm{L}_{10} \arrow[r, "\mathbb{D}_0"] & \mathrm{L}_{00} \arrow[r] & 0.
\end{tikzcd} \tag{3.11}$$

To the morphism $\mathrm{Tot}(f)$ we associate a canonical functor

$$\mathrm{Tot}(f)^* : \Psi_{\mathrm{Tot(L..)}} \longrightarrow \Psi_{\mathrm{Tot(L'..)}}$$

which is a cocartesian functor of cofibred categories over $\mathcal{D}^{[1,0]}(\mathcal{C})$. Let $(E, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ be an object of the fibre $\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot(L..)}}(K)$ with $K = [A \overset{u}{\to} B]$. Denote by $\mathrm{Tot}(f)^*(E, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ the object $(E', \alpha', \beta', \gamma')$ where

- E' is the extension $f_{00}^* E$ of L'_{00} by B obtained as pull-back of E via $f_{00} : \mathrm{L}'_{00} \to \mathrm{L}_{00}$;
- (α', β') is a trivialization of $(\mathbb{D}'_0)^* E'$ induced by the trivialization (α, β) of $\mathbb{D}_0^* E$ via the commutativity of the first square of (3.11);
- γ' is the composite $\gamma \circ f_{20}$.

The commutativity of the diagram (3.11) implies that $(E',\alpha',\beta',\gamma')$ is in fact an object of the fibre $\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot(L'..)}}(K)$, i.e. the conditions $(\alpha',\beta')\circ \mathbb{D}'_1=0$ and $u\circ\gamma' = D'_{10}\circ\beta'$ are easily deducible from the corresponding conditions on the data α,β and γ and from the commutativity of the diagram (3.11). By construction, the functor $\mathrm{Tot}(f)^*$ that we have just constructed commutes with the structural functors (with values on $\mathcal{D}^{[1,0]}(\mathcal{C})$) of the cofibred categories $\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot(L..)}}$ and $\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot(L..)}}$. Moreover the fact that $\mathrm{Tot}(f)^*$ is cocartesian is a consequence of the fact that in the category of extensions of objects of $\mathcal{C}$, the operations "push-down of extensions" commute with the operations "pull-back of extensions".

Proposition 3.4. *Let* $f:\mathrm{L'..}\to\mathrm{L..}$ *be morphism of bicomplexes. The corresponding functor* $\mathrm{Tot}(f)^*:\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot(L..)}}\to\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot(L'..)}}$ *is an equivalence of categories if and only if the homomorphisms* $\mathrm{H}_0(\mathrm{Tot}(f)):\mathrm{H}_0(\mathrm{Tot(L'..)})\to\mathrm{H}_0(\mathrm{Tot(L..)})$ *and* $\mathrm{H}_1(\mathrm{Tot}(f)):\mathrm{H}_1(\mathrm{Tot(L'..)})\to\mathrm{H}_1(\mathrm{Tot(L..)})$ *are isomorphisms.*

Proof. The functor $\mathrm{Tot}(f)^*:\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot(L..)}}\to\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot(L'..)}}$ defines the following homomorphisms of functors:

$$(\mathrm{Tot}(f)^*)^i:\Psi^i_{\mathrm{Tot(L..)}}\longrightarrow\Psi^i_{\mathrm{Tot(L'..)}}\qquad i=0,1. \tag{3.12}$$

Moreover the morphism of complexes $\mathrm{Tot}(f):\mathrm{Tot(L'..)}\to\mathrm{Tot(L..)}$ defines the following homomorphisms

$$(\mathrm{Tot}(f))^i:\mathrm{Ext}^i(\mathrm{Tot(L..)},-)\longrightarrow\mathrm{Ext}^i(\mathrm{Tot(L'..)},-)\qquad i\in\mathbb{Z}. \tag{3.13}$$

Since the homomorphisms (3.12) and (3.13) are compatible with the canonical isomorphisms obtained in Theorem 3.2, for each object K of $\mathcal{D}^{[1,0]}(\mathcal{C})$ the following diagrams (with $i=0,1$) are commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Psi^i_{\mathrm{Tot(L..)}}(K) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Ext}^i(\mathrm{Tot(L..)},K) \arrow[d] \\
\Psi^i_{\mathrm{Tot(L'..)}}(K) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Ext}^i(\mathrm{Tot(L'..)},K).
\end{tikzcd}$$

The functor $\mathrm{Tot}(f)^*:\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot(L..)}}\to\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot(L'..)}}$ is an equivalence of categories if and only if the homomorphisms (3.12) are isomorphisms, and so using the above commutative diagrams we are reduced to prove that the homomorphisms (3.13) (with $i=0,1$) are isomorphisms if and only if the homomorphisms $\mathrm{H}_0(\mathrm{Tot}(f)):\mathrm{H}_0(\mathrm{Tot(L'..)})\to\mathrm{H}_0(\mathrm{Tot(L..)})$ and $\mathrm{H}_1(\mathrm{Tot}(f)):\mathrm{H}_1(\mathrm{Tot(L'..)})\to\mathrm{H}_1(\mathrm{Tot(L..)})$ are isomorphisms. This last assertion is clearly true. ☐

4. A CANONICAL FLAT PARTIAL RESOLUTION FOR A COMPLEX CONCENTRATED IN TWO CONSECUTIVE DEGREES

Let $K=[A\overset{u}{\rightarrow}B]$ be a complex of objects of $\mathcal{C}$ concentrated in degrees 1 and 0. In this section we construct *a canonical flat partial resolution* of the complex K. Consider the following bicomplex $\mathrm{L..}(K)$ which satisfies $\mathrm{L}_{ij}(K)=0$ for $(ij)\neq(00),(01),(02),(10)$, which is endowed with an augmentation map $\epsilon_0:\mathrm{L}_{00}(K)\to$

$B, \epsilon_1 : \mathrm{L}_{10}(K) \to A$, and which depends functorially on K:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \overbrace{\qquad}^{\mathrm{L}_{2*}(K)} & \overbrace{\qquad}^{\mathrm{L}_{1*}(K)} & \overbrace{\qquad}^{\mathrm{L}_{0*}(K)} & \\
\mathrm{L}_{*3}(K)\ \Big\{ & & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & \\
\mathrm{L}_{*2}(K)\ \Big\{ & 0 \arrow[r] & 0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{Z}[B \times B] + \mathbb{Z}[B \times B \times B] \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "d_{01}"] & 0 \\
\mathrm{L}_{*1}(K)\ \Big\{ & 0 \arrow[r] & 0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{Z}[B \times B] \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "d_{00}"] & 0 \\
\mathrm{L}_{*0}(K)\ \Big\{ & 0 \arrow[r] & \mathbb{Z}[A] \arrow[r, "D_{00}"] \arrow[d, "\epsilon_1"] & \mathbb{Z}[B] \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\epsilon_0"] & 0 \\
K\ \Big\{ & 0 \arrow[r] & A \arrow[r, "u"] & B \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

The non trivial components of $\mathrm{L}..(K)$ are explained in the above diagram. In order to define the differential operators $D..$ and $d..$ and the augmentation map $\epsilon.$ we introduce the following notation: If P is an object of $\mathcal{C}$, we denote by $[p]$ the point of $\mathbb{Z}[P](S)$ defined by the point p of $P(S)$ with S an object of $\mathbf{T}$. In an analogous way, if p, q and r are points of $P(S)$ we denote by $[p, q]$, $[p, q, r]$ the elements of $\mathbb{Z}[P \times P](S)$ and $\mathbb{Z}[P \times P \times P](S)$ respectively. For any object S of $\mathbf{T}$ and for any $a \in A(S), b_1, b_2, b_3 \in B(S)$, we set

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon_0[b] &= b \\
\epsilon_1[a] &= a \\
d_{00}[b_1, b_2] &= [b_1 + b_2] - [b_1] - [b_2] \\
d_{01}[b_1, b_2] &= [b_1, b_2] - [b_2, b_1] \\
d_{01}[b_1, b_2, b_3] &= [b_1 + b_2, b_3] - [b_1, b_2 + b_3] + [b_1, b_2] - [b_2, b_3] \\
D_{00}[a] &= [u(a)]
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

These morphisms of commutative groups define a bicomplex $\mathrm{L}..(K)$ endowed with an augmentation map $\epsilon. : \mathrm{L}._0(K) \to K$. Note that the relation $\epsilon_0 \circ d_{00} = 0$ is just the group law on B, and the relation $d_{00} \circ d_{01} = 0$ decomposes in two relations which express the commutativity and the associativity of the group law on B. This augmented bicomplex $\mathrm{L}..(K)$ depends functorially on K: in fact, any morphism $f : K \to K'$ of $\mathcal{D}^{[10]}(\mathcal{C})$ furnishes a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{L}..(K) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{L}..(f)"] \arrow[d, "\epsilon."'] & \mathrm{L}..(K') \arrow[d, "\epsilon."] \\
K \arrow[r, "f"] & K'
\end{tikzcd}$$

Moreover the components of the bicomplex $\mathrm{L}..(K)$ are flat since they are free $\mathbb{Z}$-modules. In order to conclude that $\mathrm{L}..(K)$ is a canonical flat partial resolution of the complex K we need the following Lemma:

Lemma 4.1. *The additive cofibred category* $\mathbf{Ext}(K, *)$ *of extensions of* K *by a variable object of* $\mathcal{D}^{[1,0]}(\mathcal{C})$ *is equivalent to the additive cofibred category* $\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..(K))}$:

$$\mathbf{Ext}(K; *) \cong \Psi_{\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..(K))} \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. The total complex $\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..(K))$ of $\mathrm{L}..(K)$ is

$$\mathbb{Z}[B \times B] + \mathbb{Z}[B \times B \times B] \xrightarrow{\mathbb{D}_1} \mathbb{Z}[B \times B] + \mathbb{Z}[A] \xrightarrow{\mathbb{D}_0} \mathbb{Z}[B] \longrightarrow 0$$

where $\mathbb{D}_0 = D_{00} + d_{00}$ and $\mathbb{D}_1 = d_{01}$. If $K' = [A' \overset{u'}{\rightarrow} B']$ is an object of $\mathcal{D}^{[1,0]}(\mathcal{C})$, in order to describe explicitly the objects of the category $\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}_{..}(K))}(K')$ we use the description (1.2) of extensions of free commutative groups in terms of torsors:

- an extension of $\mathbb{Z}[B]$ by B' is a $(B')_B$-torsor,
- an extension of $\mathbb{Z}[B \times B] + \mathbb{Z}[A]$ by B' consists of a couple of a $(B')_{B\times B}$-torsor and a $(B')_A$-torsor, and finally
- an extension of $\mathbb{Z}[B \times B] + \mathbb{Z}[B \times B \times B]$ by B' consists of a couple of a $(B')_{B\times B}$-torsor and a $(B')_{B\times B\times B}$-torsor.

According to these considerations an object $(E, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ of $\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}_{..}(K))}(K')$ consists of

(1) a B'-torsor E over B

(2) a couple of trivializations (α, β) of the couple of B'-torsors over $B \times B$ and A, which are the pull-back of E via $\mathbb{D}_0$. More precisely:
  - a trivialization α of the B'-torsor $d_{00}^* E$ over $B \times B$ obtained as pull-back of E via $d_{00} : \mathbb{Z}[B \times B] \to \mathbb{Z}[B]$. This trivialization can be interpreted as a group law on the fibres of the B'-torsor E:

$$+ : E_{b_1}\ E_{b_2} \longrightarrow E_{b_1+b_2}$$

  where b_1, b_2 are points of $B(S)$ with S any object of $\mathbf{T}$.
  - a trivializations β of the B'-torsor $D_{00}^* E$ over A obtained as pull-back of E via $D_{00} : \mathbb{Z}[A] \to \mathbb{Z}[B]$.

  The compatibility of α and β with the relation $\mathbb{D}_0 \circ \mathbb{D}_1 = 0$ involves only α and it imposes on the data $(E, +)$ two relations through the two torsors over $B\times B$ and $B\times B\times B$. These two relations are the relations of commutativity and of associativity of the group law $+$, which mean that $+$ defines over E a structure of commutative extension of B by B';

(3) an homomorphism $\gamma : A \to A'$ such that the composite $u' \circ \gamma$ is compatible with β.

Hence the object $(E, +, \beta, \gamma)$ of $\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}_{..}(K))}(K')$ is an extension of K by K' and so we can conclude that the category $\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}_{..}(K))}(K')$ is equivalent to the category $\mathbf{Ext}(K, K')$. The proof that we have in fact an equivalence of additive cofibred categories is left to the reader. □

Proposition 4.2. *The augmentation map* $\epsilon_. : \mathrm{L}_{.0}(K) \to K$ *induces the isomorphisms* $\mathrm{H}_1(\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}_{..}(K))) \simeq \mathrm{H}_1(K)$ *and* $\mathrm{H}_0(\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}_{..}(K))) \simeq \mathrm{H}_0(K)$.

Proof. Applying Proposition 3.4 to the augmentation map $\epsilon_. : \mathrm{L}_{.0}(K) \to K$, we just have to prove that for any complex $K' = [A' \overset{u'}{\rightarrow} B']$ of $\mathcal{D}^{[1,0]}(\mathcal{C})$ the functor

$$\epsilon_.^* : \Psi_{\mathrm{Tot}(K)}(K') \to \Psi_{\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}_{..}(K))}(K')$$

is an equivalence of categories. According to definition 2.1, it is clear that the category $\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot}(K)}(K')$ is equivalent to the category $\mathbf{Ext}(K, K')$ of extensions of K by K'. On the other hand, by Lemma 4.1 also the category $\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}_{..}(K))}(K')$ is equivalent to the category $\mathbf{Ext}(K, K')$. Hence we can conclude. □

Corollary 4.3. *Let K and K' two objects of* $\mathcal{D}^{[1,0]}(\mathcal{C})$. *Assume* $\mathrm{Ext}^i(K_0, K_1') = 0$ *for* $i = 0, 1, 2$ *and* $\mathrm{Ext}^1(K_0, K_0') = \mathrm{Ext}^1(K_1, K_1') = 0$. *Then the group of automorphisms of any extension of K by K' is isomorphic to the group* $\mathrm{Ext}^0(K, K')$, *and* *the group of isomorphism classes of extensions of K by K' is isomorphic to the group* $\mathrm{Ext}^1(K,K')$.

Proof. According to the above proposition, it exists an arbitrary flat resolution $\mathrm{L}'..(K)$ of K such that the groups $\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..(K))_j$ and $\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}'..(K))_j$ are isomorphic for $j=0,1$. We have therefore a canonical homomorphism $\mathrm{L}..(K) \rightarrow \mathrm{L}'..(K)$ inducing a canonical homomorphism on the corresponding bicomplexes

$$\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..(K)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}'..(K))$$

which is an isomorphism in degrees 0 and 1. By Proposition 3.4 we have the following equivalence of additive cofibred categories

$$\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..(K))} \cong \Psi_{\sigma_{\geq 2}\mathrm{L}'..(K)}.$$

Hence in order to get the statement of this corollary we have to put together

- the geometrical description of the category $\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..(K))}(K')$ furnished by Lemma 4.1:
$$\mathbf{Ext}(K,K') \cong \Psi_{\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..(K))}(K'),$$
- the homological description of the groups $\Psi^i_{\sigma_{\geq 2}\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}'..(K))}(K')$ (for $i=0,1$) furnished by Theorem 3.2:
$$\Psi^i_{\sigma_{\geq 2}\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}'..(K))}(K') \cong \mathrm{Ext}^i(\mathrm{L}'..(K),K') \cong \mathrm{Ext}^i(K,K').$$

□

5. Geometrical description of $\Psi_{\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..)}$

Let $K_i = [A_i \stackrel{u_i}{\rightarrow} B_i]$ (for $i=1,2$) be an object of $\mathcal{D}^{[1,0]}(\mathcal{C})$ and let $\mathrm{L}..(K_i)$ be its canonical flat partial resolution. Denote by $\mathrm{L}.(K_1,K_2)$ the total complex $\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..(K_1)\otimes\mathrm{L}..(K_2))$. In this section we prove the following geometrical description of the category $\Psi_{\sigma_{\geq 2}\mathrm{L}.(K_1,K_2)}$:

Theorem 5.1. *The additive cofibred category* $\mathbf{Biext}(K_1,K_2;*)$ *of biextensions of* (K_1,K_2) *by a variable object of* $\mathcal{D}^{[1,0]}(\mathcal{C})$ *is equivalent to the additive cofibred category* $\Psi_{\sigma_{\geq 2}\mathrm{L}.(K_1,K_2)}$:

$$\mathbf{Biext}(K_1,K_2;*) \cong \Psi_{\sigma_{\geq 2}\mathrm{L}.(K_1,K_2)}$$

Proof. Denote by $\mathrm{L}..(K_1,K_2)$ the bicomplex $\mathrm{L}..(K_1)\otimes\mathrm{L}..(K_2)$: explicitly, $\mathrm{L}_{ij}(K_1,K_2) = 0$ for $(ij) \neq (00),(01),(02),(03),(04),(10),(11),(12),(20)$ and its non trivial components are

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{L}_{00}(K_1,K_2) &= \mathrm{L}_{00}(K_1)\otimes \mathrm{L}_{00}(K_2)\\
&= \mathbb{Z}[B_1\times B_2]\\
\mathrm{L}_{01}(K_1,K_2) &= \mathrm{L}_{00}(K_1)\otimes \mathrm{L}_{01}(K_2)+\mathrm{L}_{01}(K_1)\otimes \mathrm{L}_{00}(K_2)\\
&= \mathbb{Z}[B_1\times B_2\times B_2]+\mathbb{Z}[B_1\times B_1\times B_2]\\
\mathrm{L}_{02}(K_1,K_2) &= \mathrm{L}_{00}(K_1)\otimes \mathrm{L}_{02}(K_2)+\mathrm{L}_{02}(K_1)\otimes \mathrm{L}_{00}(K_2)+\mathrm{L}_{01}(K_1)\otimes \mathrm{L}_{01}(K_2)\\
&= \mathbb{Z}[B_1\times B_2\times B_2]+\mathbb{Z}[B_1\times B_2\times B_2\times B_2]+\\
&= \mathbb{Z}[B_1\times B_1\times B_2]+\mathbb{Z}[B_1\times B_1\times B_1\times B_2]+\\
&= \mathbb{Z}[B_1\times B_1\times B_2\times B_2]\\
\mathrm{L}_{03}(K_1,K_2) &= \mathrm{L}_{01}(K_1)\otimes \mathrm{L}_{02}(K_2)+\mathrm{L}_{02}(K_1)\otimes \mathrm{L}_{01}(K_2)\\
\mathrm{L}_{04}(K_1,K_2) &= \mathrm{L}_{02}(K_1)\otimes \mathrm{L}_{02}(K_2)\\
\mathrm{L}_{10}(K_1,K_2) &= \mathrm{L}_{10}(K_1)\otimes \mathrm{L}_{00}(K_2)+\mathrm{L}_{00}(K_1)\otimes \mathrm{L}_{10}(K_2)\\
&= \mathbb{Z}[A_1\times B_2]+\mathbb{Z}[B_1\times A_2]\\
\mathrm{L}_{11}(K_1,K_2) &= \mathrm{L}_{10}(K_1)\otimes \mathrm{L}_{01}(K_2)+\mathrm{L}_{01}(K_1)\otimes \mathrm{L}_{10}(K_2)\\
&= \mathbb{Z}[A_1\times B_2\times B_2]+\mathbb{Z}[B_1\times B_1\times A_2]\\
\mathrm{L}_{12}(K_1,K_2) &= \mathrm{L}_{10}(K_1)\otimes \mathrm{L}_{02}(K_2)+\mathrm{L}_{02}(K_1)\otimes \mathrm{L}_{10}(K_2)\\
\mathrm{L}_{20}(K_1,K_2) &= \mathrm{L}_{10}(K_1)\otimes \mathrm{L}_{10}(K_2)\\
&= \mathbb{Z}[A_1\times A_2]
\end{aligned}$$

The truncation $\sigma_{\geq 2}\mathrm{L}.(K_1,K_2)$ is the complex

$$\mathrm{L}_{02}(K_1,K_2)+\mathrm{L}_{11}(K_1,K_2)+\mathrm{L}_{20}(K_1,K_2) \stackrel{\mathbb{D}_1}{\to} \mathrm{L}_{01}(K_1,K_2)+\mathrm{L}_{10}(K_1,K_2) \stackrel{\mathbb{D}_0}{\to} \mathrm{L}_{00}(K_1,K_2)\to 0$$

where the differential operators $\mathbb{D}_0$ and $\mathbb{D}_1$ can be computed from the below diagram, where we don't have written the identity homomorphisms in order to avoid too heavy notation (for example instead of $(id\times D_{00}^{K_2}, D_{00}^{K_1}\times id)$ we have written just $(D_{00}^{K_2}, D_{00}^{K_1})$):
(5.1)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & \mathrm{L}_{02}(K_1,K_2) \arrow[d, "d_{01}^{K_2}+d_{01}^{K_1}+(d_{00}^{K_1},d_{00}^{K_2})"] \\
 & \mathrm{L}_{11}(K_1,K_2) \arrow[r, "D_{00}^{K_1}+D_{00}^{K_2}"] \arrow[d, "d_{00}^{K_2}+d_{00}^{K_1}"'] & \mathrm{L}_{01}(K_1,K_2) \arrow[d, "d_{00}^{K_2}+d_{00}^{K_1}"] \\
\mathrm{L}_{20}(K_1,K_2) \arrow[r, "(D_{00}^{K_2},D_{00}^{K_1})"] & \mathrm{L}_{10}(K_1,K_2) \arrow[r, "D_{00}^{K_1}+D_{00}^{K_2}"] & \mathrm{L}_{00}(K_1,K_2).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Explicitly the condition $\mathbb{D}_0\circ\mathbb{D}_1=0$ means:

- the following sequences are exact:

$$\mathbb{Z}[B_1\times B_2\times B_2]+\mathbb{Z}[B_1\times B_2\times B_2\times B_2] \xrightarrow{d_{01}^{K_2}} \mathbb{Z}[B_1\times B_2\times B_2] \xrightarrow{d_{00}^{K_2}} \mathbb{Z}[B_1\times B_2] \tag{5.2}$$

$$\mathbb{Z}[B_1\times B_1\times B_2]+\mathbb{Z}[B_1\times B_1\times B_1\times B_2] \xrightarrow{d_{01}^{K_1}} \mathbb{Z}[B_1\times B_1\times B_2] \xrightarrow{d_{00}^{K_1}} \mathbb{Z}[B_1\times B_2] \tag{5.3}$$

- the following diagrams are anticommutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{Z}[B_1 \times B_1 \times B_2 \times B_2] \arrow[r, "d_{00}^{K_2}"] \arrow[d, "d_{00}^{K_1}"'] & \mathbb{Z}[B_1 \times B_1 \times B_2] \arrow[d, "d_{00}^{K_1}"] \\
\mathbb{Z}[B_1 \times B_2 \times B_2] \arrow[r, "d_{00}^{K_2}"] & \mathbb{Z}[B_1 \times B_2]
\end{tikzcd} \tag{5.4}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{Z}[A_1 \times B_2 \times B_2] \arrow[r, "D_{00}^{K_1}"] \arrow[d, "d_{00}^{K_2}"'] & \mathbb{Z}[B_1 \times B_2 \times B_2] \arrow[d, "d_{00}^{K_2}"] \\
\mathbb{Z}[A_1 \times B_2] \arrow[r, "D_{00}^{K_1}"] & \mathbb{Z}[B_1 \times B_2]
\end{tikzcd} \tag{5.5}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{Z}[B_1 \times B_1 \times A_2] \arrow[r, "D_{00}^{K_2}"] \arrow[d, "d_{00}^{K_1}"'] & \mathbb{Z}[B_1 \times B_1 \times B_2] \arrow[d, "d_{00}^{K_1}"] \\
\mathbb{Z}[B_1 \times A_2] \arrow[r, "D_{00}^{K_2}"] & \mathbb{Z}[B_1 \times B_2]
\end{tikzcd} \tag{5.6}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{Z}[A_1 \times A_2] \arrow[r, "D_{00}^{K_2}"] \arrow[d, "D_{00}^{K_1}"'] & \mathbb{Z}[A_1 \times B_2] \arrow[d, "D_{00}^{K_1}"] \\
\mathbb{Z}[B_1 \times A_2] \arrow[r, "D_{00}^{K_2}"] & \mathbb{Z}[B_1 \times B_2]
\end{tikzcd} \tag{5.7}$$

In order to describe explicitly the objects of the fibre $\Psi_{\sigma_{\geq 2}\mathrm{L.}(K_1,K_2)}(K_3)$ of the cofibred category $\Psi_{\sigma_{\geq 2}\mathrm{L.}(K_1,K_2)}$ we use the description (1.2) of extensions of free commutative groups in terms of torsors:

- an extension of $(\sigma_{\geq 2}\mathrm{L.}(K_1,K_2))_0$ by B_3 is a $(B_3)_{B_1 \times B_2}$-torsor,
- an extension of $(\sigma_{\geq 2}\mathrm{L.}(K_1,K_2))_1$ by B_3 consists of a $(B_3)_{B_1 \times B_2 \times B_2}$-torsor, a $(B_3)_{B_1 \times B_1 \times B_2}$-torsor, a $(B_3)_{A_1 \times B_2}$-torsor and a $(B_3)_{B_1 \times A_2}$-torsor, and finally
- an extension of $(\sigma_{\geq 2}\mathrm{L.}(K_1,K_2))_2$ by B_3 consists of a system of 8 torsors under the groups deduced from B_3 by base change over the bases $B_1 \times B_2 \times B_2,\ B_1 \times B_2 \times B_2 \times B_2,\ B_1 \times B_1 \times B_2,\ B_1 \times B_1 \times B_1 \times B_2,\ B_1 \times B_1 \times B_2 \times B_2,\ A_1 \times B_2 \times B_2,\ B_1 \times B_1 \times A_2,\ A_1 \times A_2$ respectively.

According to these considerations an object $(E, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ of $\Psi_{\sigma_{\geq 2}\mathrm{L.}(K_1,K_2)}(K_3)$ consists of

(1) a B_3-torsor E over $B_1 \times B_2$
(2) a couple of two trivializations $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ and $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2)$ of the couple of two B_3-torsors over $B_1 \times B_2 \times B_2 + B_1 \times B_1 \times B_2$ and $A_1 \times B_2 + B_1 \times A_2$, which are the pull-back of E via $\mathbb{D}_0$. More precisely:
    - a couple of trivializations $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ of the couple of B_3-torsors over $B_1 \times B_2 \times B_2$ and $B_1 \times B_1 \times B_2$ which are the pull-back of E via $id \times d_{00}^{K_2} + d_{00}^{K_1} \times id : \mathbb{Z}[B_1 \times B_2 \times B_2] + \mathbb{Z}[B_1 \times B_1 \times B_2] \to \mathbb{Z}[B_1 \times B_2]$. The trivializations (α_1, α_2) can be viewed as two group laws on the fibres of the B_3-torsor E over $B_1 \times B_2$:

$$+_2 : E_{b_1,b_2}\ E_{b_1,b_2'} \longrightarrow E_{b_1,b_2+b_2'} \qquad\qquad +_1 : E_{b_1,b_2}\ E_{b_1',b_2} \longrightarrow E_{b_1+b_1',b_2}$$

where b_2, b_2' (resp. b_1, b_1') are points of $B_2(S)$ (resp. of $B_1(S)$) with S any object of $\mathbf{T}$.

  - a couple of trivializations $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2)$ of the couple of B_3-torsors $((D_{00}^{K_1} \times id)^* E, (id \times D_{00}^{K_2})^* E)$ over $A_1 \times B_2$ and $B_1 \times A_2$ respectively, which are the pull-back of E via $D_{00}^{K_1} \times id + id \times D_{00}^{K_2} : \mathbb{Z}[A_1 \times B_2] + \mathbb{Z}[B_1 \times A_2] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[B_1 \times B_2]$.

(3) the compatibility of α and β with the relation $\mathbb{D}_0 \circ \mathbb{D}_1 = 0$ imposes on the data $(E, +_1, +_2)$ and $((D_{00}^{K_1} \times id)^* E, (id \times D_{00}^{K_2})^* E, \beta_1, \beta_2)$ 8 relations of compatibility through the system of 8 torsors over $B_1 \times B_2 \times B_2$, $B_1 \times B_2 \times B_2 \times B_2$, $B_1 \times B_1 \times B_2$, $B_1 \times B_1 \times B_1 \times B_2$, $B_1 \times B_1 \times B_2 \times B_2$ $A_1 \times B_2 \times B_2$, $B_1 \times B_1 \times A_2$, $A_1 \times A_2$. For α the relation $\mathbb{D}_0 \circ \mathbb{D}_1 = 0$ implies the following 5 relations of compatibility on the data $(E, +_1, +_2)$ through the 5 torsors arising from the factor $\mathrm{L}_{02}(K_1, K_2)$ of $\sigma_{\geq 2}\mathrm{L}.(K_1, K_2)$:
  - the exact sequence (5.2) furnishes the two relations of commutativity and of associativity of the group law $+_2$, which mean that $+_2$ defines over E a structure of commutative extension of $(B_2)_{B_1}$ by $(B_3)_{B_1}$;
  - the exact sequence (5.3) expresses the two relations of commutativity and of associativity of the group law $+_1$, which mean that $+_1$ defines over E a structure of commutative extension of $(B_1)_{B_2}$ by $(B_3)_{B_2}$;
  - the anticommutative diagram (5.4) means that these two group laws are compatible.

  Therefore these 5 conditions implies that the B_3-torsor E is endowed with a structure of biextension of (B_1, B_2) by B_3.
  For β the relation $\mathbb{D}_0 \circ \mathbb{D}_1 = 0$ imposes the following 3 relations of compatibility on the data $((D_{00}^{K_1} \times id)^* E, (id \times D_{00}^{K_2})^* E, \beta_1, \beta_2)$ through the 3 torsors arising from the factors $\mathrm{L}_{11}(K_1, K_2) + \mathrm{L}_{20}(K_1, K_2)$ of $\sigma_{\geq 2}\mathrm{L}.(K_1, K_2)$:
  - the anticommutative diagram (5.5) furnishes a relation of compatibility between the group law $+_2$ of E and the trivialization β_1 of the pull-back $(D_{00}^{K_1} \times id)^* E$ of E over $A_1 \times B_2$, which means that β_1 is a trivialization of biextension;
  - the anticommutative diagram (5.6) furnishes a relation of compatibility between the group law $+_1$ of E and the trivialization β_2 of the pull-back $(id \times D_{00}^{K_2})^* E$ of E over $B_1 \times A_2$, which means that also β_2 is a trivialization of biextension;
  - the anticommutative diagram (5.7) means that the two trivializations β_1 and β_2 coincide over $A_1 \times A_2$.

(4) $\gamma : \mathbb{Z}[A_1] \otimes \mathbb{Z}[A_2] \rightarrow A_3$ is a homomorphism such that the composite $\mathbb{Z}[A_1] \otimes \mathbb{Z}[A_2] \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mathbb{Z}[A_1] \otimes \mathbb{Z}[A_2] \xrightarrow{u_3} B_3$ is compatible with the restriction of the trivializations β_1, β_2 over $\mathbb{Z}[A_1] \otimes \mathbb{Z}[A_2]$.

The object $(E, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ of $\Psi_{\sigma_{\geq 2}\mathrm{L}.(K_1,K_2)}(K_3)$ is therefore a biextension $(E, \beta_1, \beta_2, \gamma)$ of (K_1, K_2) by K_3. The reader can check that the above arguments furnish the expected equivalence of additive cofibred categories. □

6. Proof of the main theorem

Let $K_i = [A_i \xrightarrow{u_i} B_i]$ (for $i = 1, 2, 3$) be a complex of objects of $\mathcal{C}$ concentrated in degrees 1 and 0. Denote by $\mathrm{L}..(K_i)$ (for $i = 1, 2$) the canonical flat partial resolution of K_i introduced in §4. According to Proposition 4.2, there exists an arbitrary flat resolution $\mathrm{L}'..(K_i)$ (for $i = 1, 2$) of K_i such that the groups $\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..(K_i))_j$ and $\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}'..(K_i))_j$ are isomorphic for $j = 0, 1$. We have therefore two canonical homomorphisms

$$\mathrm{L}..(K_1) \longrightarrow \mathrm{L}'..(K_1) \qquad \mathrm{L}..(K_2) \longrightarrow \mathrm{L}'..(K_2)$$

inducing a canonical homomorphism

$$\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..(K_1) \otimes \mathrm{L}..(K_2)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}'..(K_1) \otimes \mathrm{L}'..(K_2))$$

which is an isomorphism in degrees 0 and 1. Denote by $\mathrm{L}.(K_1, K_2)$ (resp. $\mathrm{L}'.(K_1, K_2)$) the complex $\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}..(K_1) \otimes \mathrm{L}..(K_2))$ (resp. $\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{L}'..(K_1) \otimes \mathrm{L}'..(K_2))$). Remark that $\mathrm{L}'.(K_1, K_2)$ represents $K_1 \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes} K_2$ in the derived category $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$:

$$\mathrm{L}'.(K_1, K_2) = K_1 \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes} K_2.$$

By Proposition 3.4 we have the following equivalence of additive cofibred categories

$$\Psi_{\sigma_{\geq 2}\mathrm{L}.(K_1,K_2)} \cong \Psi_{\sigma_{\geq 2}\mathrm{L}'.(K_1,K_2)}.$$

Hence applying Theorem 5.1, which furnishes the following geometrical description of the category $\Psi_{\sigma_{\geq 2}\mathrm{L}.(K_1,K_2)}(K_3)$:

$$\mathbf{Biext}(K_1, K_2; K_3) \cong \Psi_{\sigma_{\geq 2}\mathrm{L}.(K_1,K_2)}(K_3),$$

and applying Theorem 3.2, which furnishes the following homological description of the groups $\Psi^i_{\sigma_{\geq 2}\mathrm{L}'.(K_1,K_2)}(K_3)$ for $i = 0, 1$:

$$\Psi^i_{\sigma_{\geq 2}\mathrm{L}'.(K_1,K_2)}(K_3) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^i(\mathrm{L}'.(K_1, K_2), K_3) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^i(K_1 \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes} K_2, K_3),$$

we get the main Theorem 0.1, i.e.

$$\mathrm{Biext}^i(K_1, K_2; K_3) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^i(K_1 \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes} K_2, K_3) \qquad (i = 0, 1).$$

Remark 6.1. From the exact sequence $0 \to B_3 \to K_3 \to A_3[1] \to 0$ we get the long exact sequence

$$0 \to \Psi^0_{\sigma_{\geq 2}\mathrm{L}'.(K_1,K_2)}(B_3) \to \Psi^0_{\sigma_{\geq 2}\mathrm{L}'.(K_1,K_2)}(K_3) \to \Psi^0_{\sigma_{\geq 2}\mathrm{L}'.(K_1,K_2)}(A_3[1])$$

$$\to \Psi^1_{\sigma_{\geq 2}\mathrm{L}'.(K_1,K_2)}(B_3) \to \Psi^1_{\sigma_{\geq 2}\mathrm{L}'.(K_1,K_2)}(K_3) \to \Psi^1_{\sigma_{\geq 2}\mathrm{L}'.(K_1,K_2)}(A_3[1]).$$

The homological interpretation of this long exact sequence is

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{L}'.(K_1, K_2), B_3) \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{L}'.(K_1, K_2), K_3) \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{L}'.(K_1, K_2), A_3[1])$$

$$\to \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathrm{L}'.(K_1, K_2), B_3) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathrm{L}'.(K_1, K_2), K_3) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathrm{L}'.(K_1, K_2), A_3[1]),$$

and its geometrical interpretation is

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}(B_1 \otimes B_2, B_3) \to \mathrm{Hom}(K_1 \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes} K_2, K_3) \to \mathrm{Hom}(A_1 \otimes B_2 + B_1 \otimes A_2, A_3)$$

$$\to \mathrm{Biext}^1(K_1, K_2; B_3) \to \mathrm{Biext}^1(K_1, K_2; K_3) \to \mathrm{Hom}(A_1 \otimes A_2, A_3).$$

References

[BM] C. Bertolin, C. Mazza, *Biextensions of 1-motives in Voevodsky's category of motives*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2009), no. 19, pp. 3747–3757.

[Br] L. Breen, *Fonctions thêta et théorème du cube*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 980 Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.

[D73] P. Deligne, *La formule de dualité globale*, Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas, Tome 3. Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1963–1964 (SGA 4). Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 305. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1973.

[D74] P. Deligne, *Théorie de Hodge III*, pp. 5–77, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. 44, 1974. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 305 Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1973.

[G] A. Grothendieck and others, *Groupes de Monodromie en Géométrie Algébrique*, SGA 7 I, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 288. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972.

[R] M. Raynaud, *1-motifs et monodromie géométrique*, pp. 295–319, Astérisque 223, 1994.

Dip. di Matematica, Università di Padova, Via Trieste 63, I-35121 Padova
E-mail address: `cristiana.bertolin@googlemail.com`